



## Petrogenetic processes in the ultramafic, alkaline and carbonatitic magmatism in the Kola Alkaline Province: A review

Hilary Downes<sup>a,\*</sup>, Elena Balaganskaya<sup>b,✱</sup>, Andrew Beard<sup>a</sup>,  
Ruslan Liferovich<sup>b</sup>, Daniel Demaiffe<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Earth Sciences, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street, London, UK*

<sup>b</sup>*Geological Institute, Kola Science Centre RAS, Fersman Street, 14, Apatity, Murmansk oblast, 184209, Russia*

<sup>c</sup>*Laboratoire de Géochimie Isotopique (CP 160/02), Université Libre de Bruxelles, Ave. F Roosevelt, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium*

Received 23 January 2004; accepted 11 March 2005

Available online 5 July 2005

### Abstract

Igneous rocks of the Devonian Kola Alkaline Carbonatite Province (KACP) in NW Russia and eastern Finland can be classified into four groups: (a) primitive mantle-derived silica-undersaturated silicate magmas; (b) evolved alkaline and nepheline syenites; (c) cumulate rocks; (d) carbonatites and phoscorites, some of which may also be cumulates. There is no obvious age difference between these various groups, so all of the magma-types were formed at the same time in a relatively restricted area and must therefore be petrogenetically related. Both sodic and potassic varieties of primitive silicate magmas are present. On major element variation diagrams, the cumulate rocks plot as simple mixtures of their constituent minerals (olivine, clinopyroxene, calcite, etc). There are complete compositional trends between carbonatites, phoscorites and silicate cumulates, which suggests that many carbonatites and phoscorites are also cumulates. CaO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ratios for ultramafic and mafic silicate rocks in dykes and pipes range up to 5, indicating a very small degree of melting of a carbonated mantle at depth. Damkjernites appear to be transitional to carbonatites. Trace element modelling indicates that all the mafic silicate magmas are related to small degrees of melting of a metasomatised garnet peridotite source. Similarities of the REE patterns and initial Sr and Nd isotope compositions for ultramafic alkaline silicate rocks and carbonatites indicate that there is a strong relationship between the two magma-types. There is also a strong petrogenetic link between carbonatites, kimberlites and alkaline ultramafic lamprophyres. Fractional crystallisation of olivine, diopside, melilite and nepheline gave rise to the evolved nepheline syenites, and formed the ultramafic cumulates. All magmas in the KACP appear to have originated in a single event, possibly triggered by the arrival of hot material (mantle plume?) beneath the Archaean/Proterozoic lithosphere of the northern Baltic Shield that had been recently metasomatised. Melting of the carbonated garnet peridotite mantle formed a spectrum of magmas including carbonatite, damkjernite, melilitite, melanephelinite and ultramafic lamprophyre. Pockets of phlogopite metasomatised lithospheric mantle also melted to form potassic magmas including kimberlite. Depth of

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ubfb019@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:ubfb019@ucl.ac.uk) (H. Downes).

✱ Deceased on June 24, 2005.


melting, degree of melting and presence of metasomatic phases are probably the major factors controlling the precise composition of the primary melts formed.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Kola Alkaline Carbonatite Province; Alkaline ultramafic magmatism; Carbonatites; Nepheline syenites; Kimberlites

## 1. Introduction

The Kola Alkaline Carbonatite Province (KACP) is located in the northern Baltic Shield, within the Kola Peninsula (Russia) and parts of NE Finland (Fig. 1).



- |                     |                      |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Seblyavr         | 12. Lesnaya Varaka   |
| 2. Kurga            | 13. Salmagora        |
| 3. Kontozero        | 14. Terskiy Coast    |
| 4. Pesochnyi        | 15. Turiy Mys        |
| 5. Khibiny          | 16. Kandalaksha area |
| 6. Lovozero         | 17. Arkhangelsk area |
| 7. Mavraguba        | 18. Kandaguba        |
| 8. Kovdor           | 19. Sallanlatva      |
| 9. Niva             | 20. Vuoriyarvi       |
| 10. Afrikanda       | 21. Iivaara          |
| 11. Ozernaya Varaka | 22. Sokli            |
|                     | 23. Ivanovka         |

Fig. 1. Sketch map of Kola Peninsula and NE Finland, showing location of main complexes and dyke swarms/clusters of pipes of the KACP.

The province is well-known for its ultramafic, alkaline and carbonatite magmatism (Kogarko, 1987; Woolley, 1989; Arzamastsev, 1994; Kogarko et al., 1995; Bulakh et al., 2004). It consists of nineteen alkaline-ultramafic rock complexes, most of which also contain carbonatites, together with two giant nepheline syenite complexes (Lovozero and Khibiny) and numerous dykes and pipes of various ultramafic and evolved alkaline rocks, kimberlites and carbonatites. Calculations indicate that the total volume of magma that formed the KACP was at least 15,000 km<sup>3</sup> (Arzamastsev et al., 2001), but this does not take into account the possibility of an eroded lava cover. Although each magmatic group within the KACP has been extensively investigated and discussed individually, this paper aims to discuss all of the magmatic rock groups (ultramafic alkaline rocks, kimberlites, carbonatites and nepheline syenites) together and to suggest a relationship between the different types of magmatism. We present a review of the key petrological and geochemical features of the different magmatic groups and discuss the origin and evolution of the KACP, using recently published papers that contain high quality geochemical data. An Appendix provides a glossary of unusual rock-types found in the KACP.

## 2. Geology and petrography

The northern Baltic Shield is composed predominantly of tonalite–trondjemite–granodiorite complexes formed around 2.95–2.90 Ga ago, with subsidiary supracrustal Late Archaean and Early Proterozoic formations (Balagansky et al., 1998). The crust of the region is approximately 40 km thick and the present-day lithosphere thickness is estimated at >200 km (see review by Artemieva, 2003). During Palaeozoic times, the area was part of Baltica, a continent consisting of present-day Scandinavia, Spitsbergen, Russia and Ukraine. Pa-

leogeographic reconstructions show that Baltica was situated in the southern hemisphere during Devonian times and was moving northward (Torsvik et al., 1996). Several authors have linked the KACP with either a ‘North Atlantic Alkaline Province’ that includes complexes in Greenland and the Canadian Shield (Vartiainen and Woolley, 1974) or with the extensive Devonian rifting and magmatism on the East European Platform (Kramm et al., 1993). The age of the KACP magmatism is much more restricted than that of the so-called ‘North Atlantic Alkaline Province’ and is much closer to the ages of the East European Platform Devonian magmatism. The existence of an ENE–WSW trending rift system on the Kola Peninsula (sometimes called the Kontozero rift) was first suggested by Kukhareno (1967) from the general alignment of several KACP massifs. This trend also encompasses the outcrops of Devonian volcano-sedimentary units (Arzamastsev et al., 1998). However, the generally N–S to NE–SW orientation of the KACP dyke swarms on the south coast of the Kola Peninsula argues for an approximately E–W extensional stress field at the time of their emplacement. Kukhareno et al. (1971) and Vartiainen and Paarma (1979) suggested that the presence of several carbonatite complexes and dyke swarms on the south coast of Kola was related to NNW–SSE trending ‘Kandalaksha deep fracture system’, which may be associated with the Mezen rifts beneath Arkhangelsk.

The lithospheric mantle beneath the Kola Peninsula is represented by mantle xenoliths such as spinel lherzolites and spinel wehrlites (Arzamastsev and Dahlgren, 1994; Beard et al., in preparation-a). These lithologies show evidence of metasomatism, as they contain 1–3% amphibole and ca. 1% phlogopite, together with rare fluorapatite and picroilmenite. Kimberlites in Arkhangelsk (eastern end of the White Sea) contain spinel peridotite and garnet peridotite xenoliths (Sablukov et al., 2000), with rarer phlogopite clinopyroxenites, again indicative of metasomatic enrichment. The lower continental crust of the Kola and Arkhangelsk region is composed mainly of mafic garnet granulites (Markwick and Downes, 2000; Kempton et al., 2001) that are at least 2.4 Ga old (Downes et al., 2000). Metasomatic phlogopite of 2.0 Ga age is present in some of these granulite xenoliths (Kelley and Wartho, 2000).

The Devonian magmatism was preceded by several earlier periods of alkaline magmatic activity in the northern Baltic Shield (see review by Bulakh et al., 2004). Nepheline syenite massifs of late Archaean age are found in the eastern part of the Kola Peninsula at Sakhariok and Kuljok. Three early Proterozoic (ca. 2.0 Ga) alkaline massifs with associated carbonatites are found in North Karelia (Tikshezero and Eletozero) and western Kola (Gremyakh–Vyrmes). Potassic magmatism also occurred in Kola and Karelia at approximately 1.7–1.8 Ga, followed by emplacement of lamproitic dykes in Karelia at approximately 1.2 Ga. Uvad’ev (1980) reported similar ages on alkaline lamprophyre dykes in the Kandalaksha Gulf. Elsewhere on the Baltic Shield (e.g. Fen in southern Norway, Alnö in eastern Sweden, and the Kuopio–Kaavi kimberlites of eastern Finland), there is evidence for late Proterozoic alkaline ultramafic magmatism at around 600 Ma. There are reports of similar ages for dykes within the Kandalaksha region (e.g. Rukhlov, 1999). Thus, the Kola–Karelia region was the site of sporadic alkaline and carbonatitic magmatism long before the main Devonian KACP magmatic event.

### 2.1. Dyke swarms and pipes

Two major Devonian dyke swarms are exposed on the south coast of the Kola Peninsula. One swarm is located in the north-west part of the Kandalaksha Gulf and the other occurs on the Turiy Peninsula (Fig. 1). Together they comprise more than 1000 bodies. Detailed descriptions are given by Ivanikov (1977) and Rukhlov (1999). From field relations, confirmed by the sparse age determinations (Fig. 2), dyke emplace-




Fig. 2. Age histogram of magmatic activity in KACP, derived from data and references in Table 3.

ment appears to have occurred in two stages in Early and Late Devonian times. The Early Devonian dyke magmatism comprises alnöites, aillikites, damkjerinites and carbonatites, together with monticellite kimberlites and ultramafic lamprophyres (Mahotkin et al., 2003). The Late Devonian magmatism, particularly developed in the Turiy Peninsula, consists of dykes and pipes of kimberlites, alkaline and melilite picrites, melilites, nephelinites and carbonatites (Ivanikov et al., 1998). Some of the dykes and pipes contain crustal and mantle xenoliths that yield insights into the nature of the lithosphere beneath the region (Kempton et al., 2001; Beard et al., in preparation-a).

Borodin et al. (1976) presented geochemical analyses of the wide variety of rock-types that form the dykes and concluded that the lamprophyre dykes are closely related to the alkaline–ultramafic complexes. They also suggested that the silicate magmas that formed the dykes were not closely related to kimberlites. Carbonatite and ultramafic lamprophyre dykes from Kandalaksha were investigated by Beard et al. (1996) and Mahotkin et al. (2003), whilst Kalinkin et al. (1993) and Beard et al. (1998) studied pipes of sparsely diamondiferous kimberlite and melilitite from the Terskiy coast. The petrogenesis of the melilitite–carbonatite–nephelinite dyke series of the Turiy coast was discussed by Ivanikov et al. (1998). Sindern et al. (2004) have recently studied a suite of late-stage alkaline picrite, monchiquite, nephelinite and phonolite dykes from the Khibiny complex. A monticellite

kimberlite dyke near Kandalaksha was regarded by Beard et al. (1998) as showing some similarities to ultramafic lamprophyres. The diamondiferous kimberlite pipes of the Arkhangelsk region (Makhotkin et al., 2000; Beard et al., 2000) are also Devonian in age and probably belong to the KACP magmatism, despite being situated much further east (Fig. 1).

## 2.2. Ultramafic–alkaline carbonatite complexes (UACC)

The phoscorite-bearing ultramafic alkaline carbonatite complexes (UACC) of the KACP have been extensively reviewed by Bulakh et al. (2004), Krasnova et al. (2004) and Wall and Zaitsev (2004). The UACC are multiphase central intrusions with circular or elliptical exposures and concentrically zoned structures (Kukharensko et al., 1965; Kogarko et al., 1995). Their centres contain a series of ultramafic cumulus rocks, whereas melilite-bearing rocks and strongly silica-undersaturated feldspathoidal rocks (foiolites) occur nearer to the periphery. In some complexes a multistage phoscorite–carbonatite series forms concentrically zoned stockworks. Dyke rocks are mostly alkaline and nepheline syenites along with monchiquites, tinguaites and ultramafic lamprophyres, which crosscut all other rock-types. The distribution of rock-types within the various complexes is shown in Table 1. The only complex in which all the above-mentioned rocks are found is Kovdor, which is the best

Table 1  
Rock-types occurring in ultramafic–alkaline carbonatite complexes, KACP

	II	Mg	P	OV	Af	LV	Sg	Kv	TP	Vy	Sy	So	Sl	Kg
Exposed area (km <sup>2</sup> )	~10	~0.8	~6	~0.8	~7	~9	~20	~55	~56	~20	~20	~9	~9	~4
Olivinites					⊕	+	+	+	⊕	⊕	⊕	+		
Wehrlites			+		+	+	+	+	⊕	⊕	⊕			
Clinopyroxenites		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	⊕	
Melilitolites							⊕	⊕	+					
Turjaites							+	+	+					
Melteigites	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+
Ijolite–urtites	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+		+	+
Alkaline syenites			+	+		+	+	+		+	+			+
Early magmatic carbonatites and silico-carbonatites			+	+	+	+	+	+		+			+	+
Late magmatic carbonatite/phoscorite series								+	+	+	+	+	+	+

II=Iivaara; Mg=Mavraguba; P=Pesochnyi; OV=Ozernaya Varaka; Af=Afrikanda; LV=Lesnaya Varaka; Sg=Salmagora; Kv=Kovdor; TP=Turiy Peninsula; Vy=Vuoriyarvi; Sy=Seblyavr; So=Sokli; Sl=Sallanlatvi; Kg=Kandaguba.

+ = Rocks form bodies with isometric, ring or lens-shaped contours in exposed areas, sometimes veins; ⊕ = rocks form blocks or lenses in clinopyroxenites, turjaites and melteigites.

exposed occurrence and also the one that has been studied in most detail (Krasnova and Kopylova, 1988; Balaganskaya, 1994; Krasnova, 2001). Table 2 shows details of the sequence of magmatic rocks within the Kovdor complex (Liferovich, 1998). Verhulst et al. (2000) presented a detailed study of the petrology and

geochemistry of Kovdor. A review of the phoscorite and carbonatite occurrences in Kovdor is given by Krasnova et al. (2004).

Several recent papers have dealt with the Kola UACC. The Kandaguba massif was investigated by Pilipiuk et al. (2001). Balaganskaya et al. (1999a),

Table 2  
General scheme of the development of the Kovdor UACC (after Liferovich, 1998)

Period	Cycle	Rock-types Index	Associated metasomatic rocks	
Post-magmatic	Exogenic	Weathering crusts (vermiculite, hydromicaceous, phosphate, zeolite-bearing, etc.)		
	Hydro-thermal	“Francolite” breccias Phosphate assemblages in vuggy magnesiocarbonatite and cataclazed phoscorite	Serpentinised and vuggy rocks, often showing cataclasis	
Late magmatic, phoscorite–carbonatite <sup>a</sup>	Dolomitic	V B <sub>5</sub> breccia with Ph <sub>5</sub> cement C <sub>5</sub> dolomite carbonatite with ilmenite, tremolite, red ferriphlogopite, zircon and pyrochlore Ph <sub>5</sub> dolomite–tremolite–magnetite phoscorite,	Dolomite–tremolite–ferriphlogopite metasomatites with zircon Aureoles of dolomitization	
		IV B <sub>4</sub> breccia with Ph <sub>4</sub> cement C <sub>4</sub> transgressive massive dolomite carbonatite with green phlogopite, zircon and pyrochlore Ph <sub>4</sub> dolomite–forsterite–magnetite phoscorite		
	Calcitic	III B <sub>3</sub> breccia with Ph <sub>3</sub> cement C <sub>3</sub> calcite carbonatite with red phlogopite Ph <sub>3</sub> apatite–calcite–magnetite phoscorite with clinohumite, tetraferriphlogopite and late accessories Dykes of nepheline syenite		
		II B <sub>2</sub> breccia with Ph <sub>2</sub> cement C <sub>2</sub> calcite carbonatite with forsterite and green phlogopite Ph <sub>2</sub> calcite–forsterite–magnetite phoscorite, apatite–calcite–magnetite phoscorite		
		I B <sub>1</sub> breccia with Ph <sub>1</sub> cement Ph <sub>1</sub> forsterite–magnetite phoscorite, apatite–forsterite–magnetite phoscorite		
		Dykes of feldspar ijolites and melanephelinite		
	Apatite–silicate	B <sub>AS</sub> breccia with Ph <sub>AS</sub> cement Ph <sub>AS</sub> fine-grained apatite–forsterite rock; fine-grained forsterite rock with magnetite		
	Early magmatic	Dykes of melteigite, ijolite, turjaite and alkaline syenite		
		Early carbonatites	C <sub>1</sub> (or C <sub>CPX</sub> ) biotite–clinopyroxene calcite carbonatite with titanite and wollastonite	Skarn-like garnet–amphibole–monticellite rocks
		Foidolites	Ijolite, ijolite–urtite, melteigite Giant diopside–olivine–phlogopite pegmatite	
Melilitic rocks		Turjaite, melilitolite	Melilite- and monticellite-bearing rock	
	Ultramafitolites	Nepheline clinopyroxenite Clinopyroxenite; wehrlite olivinite	Phlogopite glimmerite (?)	

i—Rhythm (stage), Ph<sub>i</sub>=phoscorite, C<sub>i</sub>=carbonatite, B<sub>i</sub>=fluid-explosion breccia.

<sup>a</sup> Phoscorite–carbonatite stages formation represented as rhythms (I–V) in terms of an original model of Krasnova and Kopylova (1988), reworked by Balaganskaya (1994).

Brassinnes et al. (2003, in press) and Karchevsky and Moutte (2004) produced new data on the Vuoriyarvi complex, Vartianen (1980) and Lee et al. (2004) have discussed the Sokli complex, Zaitsev et al. (2004) and Sitnikova (2004) have reported on the Sallanlatvi complex, and Afrikanda has been studied by Chakhmouradian and Zaitsev (2004). The Turiy UACC (Fig. 1) was also the subject of a recent major study by Dunworth and Bell (2001), while Karchevsky (2004) deals with the phoscorite–carbonatite part of this complex. Lapin (1979), Bulakh et al. (1998) and Balaganskaya et al. (2000) studied the Seblyavr complex. Arzamastsev et al. (2000a) gave details of gravity and density modelling of the size and shape of the hidden portions of all the major UACC intrusions.

The ultramafic rock group includes cumulates composed of olivine and clinopyroxene, with minor cumulus Ti-rich magnetite and perovskite and intercumulus Ti-garnet, amphibole, phlogopite, apatite and calcite. Proportions of cumulus and intercumulus phases vary widely, and cumulate textures have often been obliterated by replacement of clinopyroxene by late magmatic mica and amphibole. Melilitic rocks are also highly unusual cumulate lithologies in which the proportions of major minerals vary widely, including cumulates with >85% melilite (melilitolites). The most abundant rock-type is turjaite, which consists of cumulus melilite, nepheline, clinopyroxene and phlogopite, with accessory olivine, Ti-rich magnetite, Ti-garnet, amphibole, perovskite and minor apatite and calcite. Foidolites form a series of cumulates with differing proportions of cumulus clinopyroxene, nepheline/canocrinite and rarer melilite, forming melteigites, ijolite–urtites and urtites. The rocks are highly heterogeneous in terms of textures, grain-size and mineral composition. Interstitial calcite is normally in equilibrium with nepheline, indicating that it is a primary igneous mineral.

Carbonatites occur in all periods of formation of the UACC, including the early magmatic one in which phoscorites are absent, in a late magmatic phase where they are found intimately related with phoscorites, and in a post-magmatic period (see Table 2). Early carbonatites form dykes up to 150 m wide, cross-cutting the ultramafitoidites, foidolites and fenites. In addition to calcite or dolomite, they contain feldspathoids, biotite/phlogopite, clinopyroxene/amphibole and titanite. In some cases they have

both clear contacts with host silicate rocks but elsewhere they gradually merge into cumulate clinopyroxenite or melteigite–ijolite (Kukharensko et al., 1965; Ternovoy et al., 1969). This indicates that at least some of the carbonatites are cumulate igneous rocks and, as shown in Afrikanda, genetically linked with silicate rocks (Chakhmouradian and Zaitsev, 2004). In the later evolution of the UACC, several stages of magmatic phoscorite–carbonatite series were formed. The phoscorites are composed of magnetite, forsterite, clinopyroxene, apatite and carbonate in different proportions, with magnetite usually dominant. Calcic carbonatites of the first stages of these phoscorite–carbonatite series (Table 2) contain forsterite, clinopyroxene, monticellite, phlogopite, amphibole, apatite and magnetite. Those associated with the later stages are composed of calcite–dolomite and dolomite with forsterite and tetraferriphlogopite (Table 2). The carbonatites of the latest stages are composed of Fe-carbonates (Fe-rich dolomite, ankerite and siderite). They show pegmatitic textures and contain Ba, Sr, Nb and REE mineralisation and quartz. They were formed at the end of the late-magmatic period and in the post-magmatic one as pegmatitic and hydrothermal facies (Bulakh et al., 1998; Wall et al., 2001). Geological, mineralogical, geochemical and isotopic evidence shows that there are genetic links between phoscorite and carbonatite (Sokolov, 1983; Balaganskaya, 1994; Zaitsev and Bell, 1995; Subbotin and Subbotina, 2000; Krasnova et al., 2004; Demény et al., 2004). The phoscorite–carbonatite rock series represents the result of complex differentiation of a carbonate–silicate melt that was extremely rich in iron and phosphorus. The early cumulates in each magma portion were forsterite/diopside, magnetite and apatite (forming phoscorite), whereas the later ones were dominated by carbonate minerals.

### 2.3. Alkaline syenite magmatism

This is represented by the Khibiny and Lovozero alkaline syenitic plutons (Kogarko, 1987), the Kurga intrusion (Kogarko et al., 1995) and the Niva syenite intrusion (Arzamastsev et al., 2000b). The volumetric importance of these massifs was shown by Arzamastsev et al. (2001) whose detailed calculations suggest that the total volume of the two massifs formed 70%

Table 3  
Compilation of age determinations for KACP

Massif	Rock	Method	Samples	Age (Ma)	Reference
Khibiny	Clinopyroxenite xenolith	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	377.3 ± 3.9; MSWD=0.466	Kramm et al. (1993)
Khibiny	Clinopyroxenite xenolith	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	371.2 ± 2.9	Kramm et al. (1993)
Khibiny	Ijolite	Rb–Sr	Mineral fractions	362.4 ± 4.5; MSWD=0.804	Kramm et al. (1993)
Khibiny	Ijolite xenolith in carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	365.9 ± 5.1; MSWD=0.466	Kramm et al. (1993)
Khibiny	Agpaitic nepheline syenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	365.1 ± 4.4; SWD=1.208	Kramm et al. (1993)
Khibiny	Various	Rb–Sr	WR	367.5 ± 5.5; MSWD=1.52	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	Various	Rb–Sr	WR	366.6 ± 19.8; MSWD=1.49	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	Calcite carbonatites	Sm–Nd	Mineral fractions	366 ± 47; MSWD=0.924	Zaitsev et al., 1997
Khibiny	Ijolite	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	371 ± 6.3; MSWD=0.107	Gogol and Delenistin (1999)
Khibiny	Olivine melanephelinite from pipe	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	358 ± 31; MSWD=4.0	Arzamastsev and Belyatsky (2000)
Khibiny	Olivine melanephelinite from pipe	Sm–Nd	Mineral isochron	362 ± 91; MSWD=0.58	Arzamastsev and Belyatsky (2000)
Lovozero	Alkaline picrite from volcanic pipe	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	446 ± 56; MSWD=0.64	Arzamastsev and Belyatsky (2000)
Lovozero	Nepheline syenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	371.6 ± 20.3; MSWD=0.895	Kramm et al. (1993)
Lovozero	Foyaite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	361.7 ± 1.1; MSWD=0.470	Kramm et al. (1993)
Lovozero	Various	Rb–Sr	WR	370.4 ± 6.7; MSWD=1.97	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Niva	Agpaitic syenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	379 ± 21; MSWD=1,12	Arzamastsev et al. (2000a,b)
Niva	Agpaitic syenite	Sm–Nd	WR, Mineral fractions	384 ± 58, MSWD=1,11	Arzamastsev et al. (2000a,b)
Kurga	Clinopyroxenite and alkali syenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	404 ± 12; MSWD=2.05	Arzamastsev et al. (1999)
Kurga	Ultrabasic rocks and alkali syenite	U–Pb	Zircon	378 ± 7	Arzamastsev et al. (1999)
Kontozero	Carbonatite, picrite, nephelinite	Rb–Sr	WR	461 ± 39; MSWD=0.16	Arzamastsev et al. (1998)
Kontozero	Volcanic carbonatite–melanephelinite	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	380 ± 8; MSWD=0.53	Arzamastsev and Belyatsky (2000)
Afrikanda	Clinopyroxenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	364 ± 3.1; MSWD=0.724	Kramm et al. (1993)
Turiy Peninsula	Ijolite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	373.1 ± 5.6; MSWD=1.599	Kramm et al. (1993)
Turiy Peninsula	Melilitolites	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	372 ± 5.7; MSWD=5.1	Dunworth (1997)
Turiy Peninsula	Phoscorite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	363 ± 3.5; MSWD=0.1	Dunworth (1997)
Ozernaya Varaka	Nepheline clinopyroxenite	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	376 ± 2.9; MSWD=0.954	Kramm et al. (1993)
Ozernaya Varaka	Biotitite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	375.1 ± 6.4	Kramm et al. (1993)
Ozernaya Varaka	Cancrinite syenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	374.3 ± 4	Kramm et al. (1993)
Ozernaya Varaka	Calcite carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	369.6 ± 5.3	Kramm et al. (1993)
Salmagora	Clinopyroxenite	Rb–Sr	Mineral fractions	370 ± 6	Gogol and Delenistin (1999)
Salmagora	Biotite–calcite carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	252.3 ± 4.2	Balaganskaya, Gogol (unpubl. data)
Salmagora	Biotite–calcite carbonatite	Ar–Ar	Biotite	377.3 ± 3.3	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Kovdor	Ultramafitolite	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	376.1 ± 0.6	Zaitsev and Bell (1995)
Kovdor	Ultramafitolite	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	374.3 ± 0.3	Zaitsev and Bell (1995)
Kovdor	Ultramafitolite	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	373.1 ± 4.6	Zaitsev and Bell (1995)
Kovdor	Phoscorites and carbonatite	U–Pb	Baddeleyite	382 ± 3	Bayanova et al. (1997)

Table 3 (continued)

Massif	Rock	Method	Samples	Age (Ma)	Reference
Kovdor	Carbonatites and phoscorites	U–Pb	Baddeleyite	378.5 ± 0.3	Amelin and Zaitsev (1997)
Kovdor	Calcite and dolomite carbonatites	Pb–Th	Zircon	377.6 ± 0.7	Amelin and Zaitsev (1997)
Vuoriyarvi	Clinopyroxenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	383 ± 7; MSWD=1.21	Gogol and Delenistin (1999)
Vuoriyarvi	Calcite carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	375 ± 7; MSWD=0.707	Gogol and Delenistin (1999)
Seblyavr	Clinopyroxenite, phoscorite, carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	408.7 ± 4.7; MSWD=1.14	Balaganskaya et al. (1999a,b)
Seblyavr	Clinopyroxenite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	410 ± 7; MSWD=0.555	Gogol and Delenistin (1999)
Seblyavr	Calcite carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	408 ± 7; MSWD=1.06	Gogol and Delenistin (1999)
Seblyavr	Phoscorite	U–Pb	Baddeleyite	378 ± 4	Gogol et al. (1998)
Seblyavr	Calcite carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	376 ± 6	Gogol et al. (2000)
Sokli	Phoscorite from magmatic core	Rb–Sr	WR, Mineral fractions	367.8 ± 4.7	Kramm et al. (1993)
Sokli	Calcite carbonatite from magmatic core	Rb–Sr	Mineral isochron	365.8 ± 2.3; MSWD=0.616	Kramm et al. (1993)
Sokli	Phoscorite from magmatic core	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	362.8 ± 9.1	Kramm et al. (1993)
Sokli	Carbonatite from magmatic core	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	366.3 ± 8	Kramm et al. (1993)
Sokli	Metacarbonatite/ metaphoscorite	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	362.8 ± 2.2; MSWD=0.657	Kramm et al. (1993)
Iivaara	Ijolite–melteigite, magmatic core	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	367.4 ± 4.9; MSWD=1.145	Kramm et al. (1993)
Iivaara	Ijolite–melteigite, magmatic core	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	367.6 ± 1.0; MSWD=0.218	Kramm et al. (1993)
Iivaara	Ijolite–melteigite, magmatic core	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	373.3 ± 8.1; MSWD=1.292	Kramm et al. (1993)
Dykes	Alnoite dyke	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	618 ± 8.1; MSWD=1.44	Rukhlov (1999)
Dykes	Nephelinite dyke, Turiy Peninsula	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	150 ± 21; MSWD=1.11	Rukhlov (1999)
Dykes	Lamprophyre, Kandalaksha	K–Ar	WR	368 ± 15	Beard et al. (1996)
Dykes	Lamprophyre, Kandalaksha	K–Ar	WR	360 ± 16	Beard et al. (1996)
Dykes	Glimmerite xenolith, Kandalaksha	Ar–Ar	Biotite	386 ± 1	Beard et al. (1996)
Dykes	Glimmerite xenolith, Kandalaksha	Ar–Ar	Amphibole	392.1 ± 1	Beard et al. (1996)
Dykes	Hornblendite xenolith, Kandalaksha	Ar–Ar	Amphibole	395.6 ± 4.4	Beard et al. (1996)
Dykes	Carbonatite xenolith, Kandalaksha	Ar–Ar	Biotite	390.7 ± 0.6	Beard et al. (1996)
Dykes	Hornblendite xenolith, Kandalaksha	Ar–Ar	Amphibole	393.1 ± 0.8	Beard et al. (1996)
Dykes	Kimberlite, Terskiy Coast	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	406 ± 20; MSWD=2.00	Gogol and Delenistin (1999)
Dykes	Monticellite kimberlite, Kandalaksha	K–Ar	WR	382 ± 14	Beard et al. (1998)
Dykes	Melilitite, Terskiy Coast	K–Ar	WR	365 ± 16	Beard et al. (1998)
Sallanlatvi	Calcite carbonatite	U–Pb	Zircon	372 ± 3	Sitnikova (2004)
Sallanlatvi	Calcite carbonatite	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	370.1 ± 1.5	Sitnikova (2004)
Sallanlatvi	Ijolite	Rb–Sr	WR+mineral fractions	369 ± 2	Sitnikova (2004)

of the total volume of magma in the KACP. The Khibiny pluton is the largest with an exposed area of 1327 km<sup>2</sup>. It is a multiphase intrusion, with a concentrically zoned structure formed by layered intrusive bodies (Kukharenskiy et al., 1965). Its periphery consists of alkaline syenites, giving way to various types of nepheline syenite (khibinites and rischorrites), followed by ijolite–melteigite–urtites and foyaites towards the centre. A stock-like body of carbonatite cuts the foyaites (Dudkin et al., 1984; Zaitsev, 1996). Contacts between intrusions are usually gradual. Only the ijolite–melteigite–urtite intrusion has rather sharp contacts, showing complicated relations with the later intrusions (Ivanova et al., 1970). Xenoliths of ultramafic and melilite-bearing rocks occur along its outer contacts (Galakhov, 1975, 1988; Schpachenko and Stepanov, 1991), interpreted as relics of an earlier ultramafic–alkaline intrusion (Dudkin et al., 1986; Balaganskaya and Savchenko, 1998). These ijolites are similar in composition to the ijolite–urtite arc (Kostyleva-Labuntsova et al., 1978). Numerous late-stage dykes of alkali picrite, monchiquite, nephelinite and phonolite cut the Khibiny massif (Sindern et al., 2004).

The Lovozero multiphase nepheline syenite pluton has an exposed area of 650 km<sup>2</sup>. It has the form of a broad-based lopolith that can be traced geophysically down to 7 km (Schablinsky, 1963). Early nepheline and nosean syenites form about 5% of its area. The main pluton area (77%) comprises a regular alternation of urtite, foyaite and lujavrite layers from a few centimeters to hundreds of meters in thickness. Rocks of the eudialyte lujavrite suite represent a third phase and form about 18% of the pluton area. Rare alkaline lamprophyre dykes cut all the earlier rocks (Bussen and Sakharov, 1972; Kogarko et al., 1995, 2002). The Kurga complex is a lopolith that can be traced to 4.5 km depth (Arzamastsev et al., 1999). Its exposed area is about 30 km<sup>2</sup> and is elliptical in shape. From the center to the margin, the complex consists of cumulate olivinites, wehrlites and clinopyroxenites; the central part is cut by stocks of alkaline and nepheline syenites (Kukharenskiy et al., 1971; Kogarko et al., 1995).

#### 2.4. Alkali basaltic and carbonatitic extrusive rocks

Eruptive volcanic rocks are rare within the KACP, but xenoliths of alkali basaltic lavas up to several

hundred meters long occur within the Khibiny and Lovozero massifs (Gerasimovskiy et al., 1966; Galakhov, 1975; Bussen and Sakharov, 1972; Kogarko et al., 1995). These xenoliths are thought to form part of the roof complex into which the plutonic rocks were intruded. A small volcanic series interpreted as a carbonatite–melanephelinite palaeovolcano has been identified at Kontozero (Pyatenko and Osokin, 1988). The total thickness preserved within a circular depression in Kontozero is approximately 3 km. Remnants of the lava pile have been found in Ivanovka on the northern coast of the Kola Peninsula (Arzamastsev et al., 1998; 2002). They are nepheline basalts and alkaline trachyte or phonolite lavas, up to 40 m thick and extending for a few hundred meters.

Chemical analyses of the effusive silicate rocks are given by Arzamastsev et al. (1998). Age determinations (Table 3) suggest that they are 20–30 Ma older than the intrusive rocks, but Kirichenko (1962) reported Upper Devonian–Lower Carboniferous plant fossils within the sedimentary sequences accompanying the lavas in Lovozero and Kontozero. The original thickness of the lava pile is one of the unknown factors in estimating the volume of magma that formed the KACP, and the volume calculated by Arzamastsev et al. (2001) does not contain any value for eroded extrusive rocks. A conservative estimate, based on a 2 km thick pile of lavas covering an area 200 km in diameter, yields an additional volume of 60,000 km<sup>3</sup> for the erupted material.

### 3. Geochronology

Rb–Sr dating of many of the alkaline complexes led Kramm et al. (1993) to the conclusion that the KACP was formed during a relatively short time interval of 360–380 Ma. Further studies, using techniques such as Ar–Ar, U–Pb and Sm–Nd, have generally confirmed this interval but have also allowed it to be slightly broadened (Arzamastsev et al., 1999, 2002; Arzamastsev and Belyatsky, 2000). A recent review of the subject is given by Kramm and Sindern (2004) and our brief discussion here generally agrees with these authors. Table 3 gives a review of the available age determinations. Fig. 2 shows a histogram of age determinations of KACP magmatic rocks, derived from Table 3, in which the vast majority of the

results still fall between 360 and 380 Ma. The ages of the Kola kimberlites is poorly known, but one of the associated melilitites at Terskiy Bereg has been dated at  $365 \pm 16$  Ma and a monticellite kimberlite dyke near Kandalaksha has yielded an age of  $382 \pm 14$  Ma (Beard et al., 1998). However, Delenitsyn et al. (2001) determined ages of  $457 \pm 46$  Ma (Sm–Nd isochron) and  $465 \pm 12$  Ma (Rb–Sr isochron) on separated minerals from the Yermakovskaya-7 kimberlite pipe in Terskiy Bereg. The Arkhangelsk kimberlites are also constrained to be Devonian by fossil evidence (Sablukov, 1984; Makhotkin et al., 2000). Therefore we consider that the kimberlite magmatism is an integral part of the KACP event.

A clinopyroxenite and an early carbonatite of the Seblyavr intrusion have yielded Rb–Sr ages of  $410 \pm 7$  and  $408 \pm 7$  Ma (Balaganskaya et al., 1999b; Gogol and Delenistin, 1999), whilst volcanic rocks of the Kontozero caldera and Lovozero were dated by Rb–Sr at  $461 \pm 39$  and  $446 \pm 56$  Ma, respectively (Arzamastsev et al., 1998). These older ages may represent earlier phases of activity but it is possible that the samples may contain contaminants from the surrounding Precambrian basement that have caused these older ages. Kramm and Sintern (2004) have suggested that the Ar–Ar ages of 378–395 Ma for carbonatite and hornblendite xenoliths from the Kandalaksha Gulf (Beard et al., 1996) may be due to excess argon. A much younger Rb/Sr age (252 Ma) has been obtained on a sample from Salmagora (Table 2) but this is considered to be spurious, particularly as biotite from the same sample has yielded an Ar–Ar age of  $377.3 \pm 3.3$  Ma (Table 3). Thus, further studies of the ages of KACP magmatism have largely supported the assertion of Kramm et al. (1993) that the magmatic event was of relatively short duration.

## 4. Geochemistry

### 4.1. Major element geochemistry

Table 4 presents geochemical data for a selection of silicate dyke and pipe rocks of the KACP, all of which are assumed to represent true magmatic liquids (i.e. have not undergone cumulus processes). The loss on ignition (LOI) is included in the total in these analyses,

so recalculation to anhydrous compositions would raise the  $\text{SiO}_2$  content to some extent. Nevertheless, on the Total Alkalis vs Silica diagram (Fig. 3) the dykes and pipes largely fall in the foidite field, as they mostly have significantly lower  $\text{SiO}_2$  contents than common silica-undersaturated magmas such as basanites and nephelinites. They also generally have low total-alkali contents ( $<5$  wt.%), although some evolved melilitites and melanephelinites ( $<7$  wt.% MgO) have higher total alkali contents. These latter approach the compositions of nepheline syenites (Fig. 3). Within the dykes and pipes, sodic types are more abundant than potassic ones (Fig. 4), with the potassic rocks tending to be melilitites, damkjernites and kimberlites. Among the dyke rocks,  $\text{CaO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  ratios range from 1 to  $>5$ , with the higher values being from kimberlites and damkjernites, greatly exceeding the ratios shown by typical ocean-island basaltic magmas and MORB (Fig. 5). This indicates that the KACP magmas were derived from a mantle source with high  $\text{CaO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  ratios (e.g. one enriched in carbonate).

The MgO– $\text{SiO}_2$  diagram (Fig. 6) shows that many of the dyke rocks are highly magnesian ( $>12$  wt.% MgO) and silica-undersaturated (20–40 wt.%  $\text{SiO}_2$ ). When other rock-types such as carbonatites, phoscorites, nepheline syenites and cumulates are plotted on the MgO– $\text{SiO}_2$  diagram, the cumulate rocks appear as mixtures of their constituent minerals (i.e. between diopside, olivine, nepheline, calcite, dolomite, phlogopite and melilite). There are complete compositional trends between carbonatites and olivinites, and between carbonatites and pyroxenites, suggesting that many of the plutonic carbonates are cumulates. Phoscorites also plot between calcite and one or more mafic silicate phases (forsterite, diopside and phlogopite) and may therefore be cumulates. In contrast, the nepheline syenites form a fractionation trend to high  $\text{SiO}_2$  and low MgO, and overlap with the more evolved foidolite dykes (Fig. 6).

### 4.2. REE and incompatible trace elements

Fig. 7 shows chondrite-normalised REE abundances of primitive mafic alkaline rocks (including kimberlites) from the KACP (Table 4). Their patterns are all remarkably similar and show strong LREE enrichment with La/Yb between 70 and 200. Their LREE abundances are much higher than those of OIB,

Table 4  
Primitive silicate magma compositions from KACP

	Kimberlite	Damkjernite	Olivine–phlogopite melilitite	Ultramafic lamprophyre	Melilitite	Olivine–melilite mela-nephelinite	Aillikite	UACC parent
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
SiO <sub>2</sub>	35.13	25.24	24.43	35.19	35.10	39.26	26.19	36.90
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.97	1.65	2.0	1.73	1.97	2.73	3.66	2.68
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.48	5.30	5.52	9.01	10.41	8.95	3.65	7.20
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>a</sup>	6.83	10.22	13.72	11.93	13.04	11.69	20.01	14.24
MnO	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.43	0.25
MgO	23.99	10.34	12.93	11.42	12.23	9.89	16.76	12.42
CaO	19.97	24.32	19.92	15.96	18.80	16.06	13.70	16.81
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.32	0.98	0.72	2.11	4.18	3.22	1.18	2.92
K <sub>2</sub> O	2.75	1.46	2.26	1.66	1.42	1.49	3.23	1.82
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.65	1.17	0.69	0.61	0.21	0.36	2.02	1.20
LOI	13.78	17.73	14.95	9.78	2.02	5.34	8.51	2.66
K <sub>2</sub> O/Na <sub>2</sub> O	8.6	1.5	3.1	0.8	0.3	0.5	2.7	0.6
CaO/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.5	4.6	3.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.8	2.3
Total	99.06	98.61	97.44	99.64	99.59	100.89	98.84	100.00
Rb	95	40	74	57	37	38	108	49
Sr	859	1869	1326	1174	1767	2660	1174	2094
Ba	1571	951	1191	1351	405	2100	931	1116
Sc	15	30	19	23	13	21	123	25
V	38	272	340	244	158		163	104
Cr	1316	196	211	497	300	223	155	393
Ni	1180	106	247	313	272	137	307	242
Y	16.4	19.7	24.5	24	16.7	22	36	34
Nb	237	159	189	157	124	120	981	96
Zr	123	353	328	251	131	252	968	347
Th	16.3	12.3	17.4	10	13.4	8.4		8.5
La	201	184.5	160.5	119.1	107.1	104	292	131.3
Ce	246	349.5	311.4	244.4	223.2	175	603	249.3
Pr		36.9	33.8	25.6				27.4
Nd	89	115.2	109.3	87.8	69.4	55	255	96.9
Sm	10.96	14.57	14.1	12.13	9.59	9.5		14.4
Eu	2.91	4.04	3.81	3.15	2.56	2.7		3.60
Gd	6.77	9.84	9.68	8.29	6.58			10.2
Dy	3.49	5.54	6.01	5.80	3.64			6.02
Ho		0.91	1.05	0.97				1.05
Er	1.15	1.67	2.25	2.09	1.28			2.42
Yb	1.04	1.2	1.89	1.87	1.04	1.7		1.83
Lu	0.16	0.16	0.28	0.31	0.15	0.14		0.26

1, 5: Beard et al. (1998), Terskiy coast; 2, 3: Downes and Mahotkin (unpub. data), Kandalaksha dyke swarm; 4: Beard et al. (1996), Kandalaksha Gulf; 6: Ivanikov et al. (1998), Turiy; 7: Downes (unpub. data) (Sokli); 8: calculated by Arzamastsev et al. (2002).

<sup>a</sup> Total Fe as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> LOI=Loss on ignition (includes H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>).

indicating a more extreme metasomatism in the mantle source or a much smaller degree of partial melting, whereas the HREE abundances are often lower than OIB, suggesting the presence of residual garnet. Significantly, carbonatites from the KACP have extremely similar REE patterns to those of the silicate magmas. This observation, however, does not help in distinguishing the origin of the carbonatites.

The REE compositions of nepheline syenites from the Khibiny and Lovozero plutons (Arzamastsev et al., 2001) show both higher and lower patterns than those of the supposed parental magma of the UACCs (Fig. 8). This is probably related to the extensive fractional crystallisation and accumulation that the evolved magmas have undergone, and the relative abundances of REE-bearing minerals in the cumulate




Fig. 3. Wt.% Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O vs SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram for KACP dyke rocks, kimberlites, nepheline syenites, and UACC parental magma. Data sources: Beard et al. (1996); Beard et al. (1998); Arzamastsev et al. (1998); Beard et al. (2000); Arzamastsev et al. (2001); Sindern et al. (2004); Mahotkin and Downes (unpub).




Fig. 5. CaO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> vs wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub> for rocks from KACP dykes and pipes, and parental magma for UACC. Data sources as for Fig. 3.




Fig. 4. Wt.% K<sub>2</sub>O vs wt.% Na<sub>2</sub>O diagram for rocks from KACP dykes and pipes, and parental magma for UACC. Data sources as for Fig. 3.

rocks. However, with the exception of a few rock-types which show slight MREE depletion (probably due to titanite fractionation), the REE patterns in the evolved rocks are parallel to those of the mafic and ultramafic parental magmas.

Mantle-normalised incompatible trace element diagrams for primitive silicate magma compositions from the KACP (Fig. 9) show that they are enriched in strongly incompatible elements relative to ocean island basalts (Sun and McDonough, 1989), although kimberlites and melilitites show a trough at Zr. Both potassic and sodic magmas show very similar trace element patterns. Such strong enrichment would be hard to explain even by small degrees of melting (0.1–3%) of an undepleted garnet peridotite mantle. Most likely the source contains additional metasomatic phases. Arzamastsev et al. (2001) suggested the presence of phlogopite and amphibole, together with possible apatite and perovskite, in the mantle source of the KACP magmas.

Fig. 10 shows mantle-normalised trace element diagrams for carbonatite dykes from Kola, i.e. those




Fig. 6. Variation diagram of wt.% MgO vs wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub> for all varieties of KACP magmatic rocks. Mineral abbreviations: Cc=calcite; Dol=dolomite; Mel=melilite; Fo=forsterite; Di=diopside; Ne=nepheline; Phl=phlogopite.




Fig. 7. REE plots of primitive magma compositions and carbonatite from KACP. Chondrite normalisation coefficients from Nakamura (1974). Data sources: Table 4.




Fig. 8. REE plots of evolved rock-types from KACP. Chondrite normalisation coefficients from Nakamura (1974). Data source: Arzamastsev et al. (2001).




Fig. 9. Trace element data for primitive magma compositions from KACP, normalised to primitive mantle composition of Sun and McDonough (1989). Data sources: Table 4.




Fig. 10. Trace element data for carbonatites from KACP, normalised to primitive mantle composition of Sun and McDonough (1989). Data sources: Beard et al. (1996); Ivanikov et al. (1998); Dunworth and Bell (2001).

representing a magmatic liquid rather than a cumulate (Verhulst et al., 2000; Dunworth and Bell, 2001; Mahotkin et al., 2003). They show distinct troughs at Rb and Nb, although in all other trace elements they are identical to melilitites and/or kimberlites, including the trough at Zr. However, some carbonatite dykes from Turiy (Ivanikov et al., 1998) are more enriched in Sr and the REE, and do not show the same Nb trough (Fig. 10). Thus there appear to be at least two “end-member” types of carbonatite magmas within the KACP, those with depletion in Nb and those that show enrichment in this element. It is not clear what the petrogenetic significance of this observation is, as these do not seem to correlate with any other feature (e.g. age, mode of emplacement, etc).

When the trace element diagrams of nepheline syenites are compared with that of a typical ultramafic lamprophyre or UACC parent magma (Fig. 11), many of the patterns are remarkably similar, although some of the evolved rock-types actually have lower overall trace element contents. This indicates that both crystal fractionation and crystal accumulation have occurred. Some highly evolved nepheline syenites from Khibiny show extreme enrichment in the REE and Nb,




Fig. 11. Trace element data for evolved rock-types from KACP, normalised to primitive mantle composition of Sun and McDonough (1989). Data source: Arzamastsev et al. (2001).

Table 5  
 $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(\text{initial})}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$  data for KACP, calculated to 380 Ma

Massif	Rock	$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (i)	$\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (i)	Data source
Khibiny	MG-IJ	0.70324	6.0	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	MG-IJ	0.70338	5.2	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	MG-IJ	0.70336	4.6	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	MG-IJ	0.70299	5.8	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	IJ-UR	0.70325	5.8	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	IJ-UR	0.70320	5.5	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	IJ-UR	0.70335	5.2	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	SY	0.70335	5.5	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	SY	0.70313	4.4	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	PRX	0.70404	5.1	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	IJ	0.70425	3.4	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	C	0.70392	3.9	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Khibiny	C	0.70424	4.0	DemaiFFE et al. (unpubl. data)
Khibiny	C	0.70397	1.3	DemaiFFE et al. (unpubl. data)
Khibiny	C	0.70412	3.7	DemaiFFE et al. (unpubl. data)
Lovozero	C	0.70400	4.1	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	IJ-UR	0.70394	3.8	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	IJ-UR	0.70373	3.0	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70355	4.9	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70336	3.6	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70371	4.7	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70361	2.9	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70387	3.6	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70357	3.3	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70364	4.9	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Lovozero	SY	0.70353	4.0	Kramm and Kogarko (1994)
Vuoriyarvi	PRX	0.70311	4.2	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	IJ	0.70307	4.2	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	C	0.70341	1.8	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	C	0.70306	4.6	DemaiFFE et al. (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	C	0.70300	5.5	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	C	0.70305	5.7	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	C	0.70313	5.4	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	C	0.70304	5.9	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Vuoriyarvi	C	0.70309	5.4	Balaganskaya, Downes (unpubl. data)
Terskiy coast	K	0.70390	-2.0	Beard et al. (1998)
Terskiy coast	K	0.70385	-0.5	Beard et al. (1998)
Terskiy coast	M	0.70500	0.8	Beard et al. (1998)
Terskiy coast	M	0.70458	1.9	Beard et al. (1998)
Terskiy coast	M	0.70637	2.9	Beard et al. (1998)
Terskiy coast	M	0.70578	2.3	Beard et al. (1998)
Kovdor	OI	0.70487	5.0	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	OI	0.70369	4.3	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	PRX	0.70370	0.6	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	ML	0.70348	2.7	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	ML	0.70392	2.0	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	Me-MG	0.70377	3.4	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	MG	0.70438	1.1	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	IJ-UR	0.70370	-3.4	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	C	0.70354	3.8	Kramm (1993)
Kovdor	C	0.70323	5.7	Kramm (1993)
Kovdor	C	0.70364	1.6	Verhulst et al. (2000)

Table 5 (continued)

Massif	Rock	$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (i)	$\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (i)	Data source
Kovdor	C	0.70346	5.2	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	C	0.70367	3.1	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Kovdor	C	0.70357	2.4	Verhulst et al. (2000)
Ozernaya Varaka	C	0.70356	3.3	Kramm (1993)
Ozernaya Varaka	C	0.70343	4.0	Kramm (1993)
Ozernaya Varaka	C	0.70366	3.3	Demaiffe et al. (unpubl. data)
Seblyavr	PRX	0.70321	5.3	Balaganskaya et al. (unpubl. data)
Seblyavr	Ph	0.70338	6.0	Balaganskaya et al. (unpubl. data)
Seblyavr	C	0.70315	5.6	Balaganskaya et al. (unpubl. data)
Seblyavr	C	0.70310	5.0	Balaganskaya et al. (unpubl. data)
Seblyavr	C	0.70314	5.7	Balaganskaya et al. (unpubl. data)
Seblyavr	C	0.70325	4.8	Balaganskaya et al. (unpubl. data)
Seblyavr	C	0.70340	7.3	Balaganskaya et al. (unpubl. data)
Sokli	C	0.70342	5.9	Demaiffe et al. (unpubl. data)
Sokli	C	0.70314	7.2	Kramm (1993)
Sokli	C	0.70308	6.1	Kramm (1993)
Turiy Mys	C	0.70317	5.4	Kramm (1993)
Kontozero	C	0.70312	6.9	Arzamastsev et al. (1998)
Kurga	PRX	0.70322	4.7	Arzamastsev et al. (1998)
Kurga	SY	0.70322	3.7	Arzamastsev et al. (1998)
Niva	SY	0.70328	4.4	Arzamastsev et al. (1998)
Niva	SY	0.70340	5.1	Arzamastsev et al. (1998)
Niva	SY	0.70333	3.0	Arzamastsev et al. (1998)
Kandalaksha	C	0.70312	4.2	Beard et al. (1996)
Kandalaksha	C	0.70321	2.1	Beard et al. (1996)
Kandalaksha	UML	0.70909	-0.04	Beard et al. (1996)
Kandalaksha	UML	0.70445	2.0	Beard et al. (1996)
Kandalaksha	UML	0.70541	0.6	Beard et al. (1996)
Kandalaksha	K	0.70470	3.9	Beard et al. (1998)

Rock abbreviations: SY=syenite; MG=melteigite; IJ=ijolite; UR=urtite; PRX=clinopyroxenite; OL=olivinite; ML=melilitolite; Ph=phoscorite; C=carbonatite; K=kimberlite; M=melilitite; UML=ultramafic lamprophyre.

but with conspicuous troughs at Zr, Sr, Rb and Ba. We suggest that this pattern probably results from fractionation of alkali feldspar and a Zr-bearing phase such as eudialyte.

#### 4.3. Noble gas studies

More than 300 rocks and minerals from the KACP have been studied for noble gas concentrations (He, Ne and Ar) and isotope systematics (Tolstikhin and Marty, 1998; Tolstikhin et al., 1999, 2002). The highest  $^3\text{He}$  concentrations measured in any terrestrial sample,  $4 \times 10^{-9}$  cc/g, with a rather low  $^4\text{He}/^3\text{He}$  ratio of  $3.3 \times 10^4$ , were measured in a magnetite separate from a Seblyavr clinopyroxenite (Kamensky et al., 2000). From the noble gas compositions, Tolstikhin et al. (2002) considered that the KACP magmatism was related to a lower mantle plume with an

initial  $^4\text{He}/^3\text{He}$  of  $3 \times 10^4$ . On the basis of the noble gas abundances in the principal terrestrial reservoirs (Tolstikhin and Marty, 1998), the contribution of the lower mantle in KACP parental melts was estimated to be 1.8 wt.%. This implies that heat from the plume caused melting of the upper mantle, possibly including metasomatised subcontinental lithosphere (Tolstikhin et al., 1999, 2002).

#### 4.4. Radiogenic isotope ratios

Kukharensko et al. (1965) and Galakhov (1975) first suggested a mantle origin for the parental melts within the KACP from petrologic and geochemical data. This was later supported by Sr and Nd radiogenic isotope studies (Kramm et al., 1993; Kramm, 1993; Kramm and Kogarko, 1994; Beard et al., 1996; 1998; Rukhlov, 1999; Verhulst et al., 2000;

Dunworth and Bell, 2001). Table 5 gives the data sources and values for the initial isotope ratios of radiogenic Sr and Nd, for a wide variety of rocks from the KACP. Initial  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  ratios at 380 Ma for intrusive silicate rocks from the ultramafic alkaline complexes and the nepheline syenites vary from 0.7030 to 0.7049, whilst the carbonatites show variations from 0.7030 to 0.7041.  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t$  values ( $t=380$  Ma) determined for intrusive silicate rocks in the KACP vary from +5.5 to +0.9, excluding an ijolite from Kovdor with  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t = -3.5$ ; for Kola carbonatites  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t$  is +7.3 to +0.4. Table 5 indicates that for some intrusions such as Kovdor and Turiy, there is a large range of initial isotopic compositions, suggesting open system behaviour, whereas in other intrusions (e.g. Vuoriyarvi) the isotopic compositions are much more clustered, indicating a closed system for magma evolution.

Fig. 12 shows the age-corrected Sr–Nd results for all analysed rocks from the KACP using data from Table 5, Dunworth and Bell (2001) and Mahotkin et al. (2003). Most of them plot in the Depleted Mantle field, with low  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  and high  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t$  values. They appear to diverge from a depleted end-member that has been suggested to be the Devonian equivalent of




Fig. 12. Sr–Nd isotope diagram for KACP rocks. Data sources: Table 5; Dunworth and Bell (2001); Mahotkin and Downes (unpub).

FOZO (Bell and Rukhlov, 2004). The detailed variations in isotope compositions have previously been explained by mixing of melts from two, three or even four mantle and crustal sources (Kramm, 1993; Kramm et al., 1993; Zaitsev and Bell, 1995; Verhulst et al., 2000; Arzamastsev et al., 2000b; Dunworth and Bell, 2001; Mahotkin et al., 2003; Sindern et al., 2004). Potassic samples are distinguished on Fig. 12 and most of them show either higher  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_i$  ratios or lower  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t$  than the sodic rock-types. Crustal contaminants could include the lower crust, represented by granulite xenoliths from Kandalaksha (Kempton et al., 2001).

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. General considerations

Igneous rocks of the KACP can be broadly classified into four groups: (a) primitive mantle-derived silicate magmas, such as kimberlites, melilitites, nephelinites, ultramafic lamprophyres; these are mostly found as dykes and pipes; (b) evolved alkaline and nepheline syenites found as large plutons and as dykes cross-cutting the alkaline ultramafic complexes; (c) cumulate rocks, including olivinites, clinopyroxenites, foidolites, melilitolites, etc; these are found in the alkaline ultramafic complexes and as xenoliths in nepheline syenites; (d) calcite carbonatites found within the UACC (together with dolomitic carbonatites and phoscorites) and also forming dykes and xenoliths. The relationship between all these rock-types can be investigated using the petrological and geochemical data presented above. Borodin et al. (1976) suggested that there were three parental magmas within the KACP that had been derived from progressively deeper sources: alkali basaltic, alkali ultramafic and kimberlitic.

One very important consideration about KACP rocks is that they show a very strong tendency to low  $\text{SiO}_2$  contents, much lower than most common alkaline magmas, as shown in the TAS diagram (Fig. 3). This indicates an extremely low degree of partial melting in the source mantle. The abundance of magmatic carbonate suggests that the primary magmas were derived from a carbonated mantle and the effect of the presence of carbonate in the mantle may en-

hance the degree of silica undersaturation of the magmas formed from it. The KACP rocks also have much more enriched REE patterns compared to OIB (Fig. 7), again indicating a lower degree of melting than normal. The low HREE abundances of all primitive rock-types (Fig. 7) indicate the presence of residual garnet, and the presence of diamonds in the Terskiy kimberlites (Kalinkin et al., 1993) are a clear indication that at least some of the magmas were generated at depths >120 km. It may be significant that damkjernites and kimberlites show the lowest HREE contents (Fig. 7) and are thought to come from the greatest depths.

Initial Sr and Nd isotope ratios of most of the rock-types (UACC, syenites, carbonatites) fall in the Depleted Mantle field (Fig. 12) and the magmas must therefore have been derived from a time-integrated Rb- and LREE-depleted mantle source. The metasomatic enrichment of the upper mantle (deduced from the trace element enrichment) probably took place in Late Proterozoic or Early Palaeozoic times, as suggested by Artemieva (2003). The spread of data requires slight variations within this mantle source, with one end-member being rather depleted ( $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t=6$ ;  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}=0.703$ ) and another being closer to Bulk Earth ( $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t=2$ ;  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}=0.704$ ). In contrast, many of the pipes and dykes, particularly the potassic ones, have isotopic compositions that are quite different from those of the majority of the KACP magmas, indicating either a source with a significantly different isotopic composition or a different extent of alteration.

There is no obvious age progression for the various types of magmatic activity (Table 3; Fig. 2). Thus, all of the magma types were formed in the same series of events over a relatively short time span and over a relatively restricted area, and must therefore be related to some extent. Recent experimental results on the melting of carbonated mantle at 6 GPa (Dalton and Presnall, 1998) have shown that kimberlites and carbonatites can be formed from the same source during the same melting event. These authors suggested that there is a strong petrogenetic link between carbonatites, kimberlites and alkaline ultramafic rocks such as ultramafic lamprophyres. Rock (1991) also pointed out that there is a compositional continuum between these magma types. The noble gas systematics (Tolstikhin et al., 2002) suggest an origin related to mantle plume activity.

It is quite possible, therefore, that all of the magmatic rocks seen in the Devonian KACP originated in a single event, triggered by the arrival of upwelling hot material (mantle plume?) beneath the metasomatised Archaean/Proterozoic lithosphere of the Kola region. The influx of heat caused melting of the carbonated garnet peridotite asthenospheric mantle, forming sodic magmas and carbonatites, which evolved to form the UACC and the nepheline syenites. Pockets of phlogopite-metasomatised lithospheric mantle were also melted and formed potassic ultramafic or mafic magmas, which appear to have not evolved to form fractionated magmas. The depth and degree of melting are probably the major factors controlling the precise composition of the melts formed, together with variations in metasomatic phases in the mantle source.

### 5.2. Origin of silicate dyke rocks (magmatic liquids)

Borodin et al. (1976) first suggested that there is a close petrogenetic relationship between the dykes and the ultramafic alkaline complexes. Dyke rocks from the dyke swarms clearly represent magma compositions, rather than the cumulate compositions that characterise the ultramafic–alkaline magmatic complexes, and hence they can be used to investigate the primitive magmas of the KACP. Table 4 shows some compositions of these rocks. All have high MgO (>12 wt.%) and are clearly capable of being derived directly from the mantle. They frequently have >500 ppm Ni and >1000 ppm Cr, supporting this suggestion. Ivanikov et al. (1998) suggested that an olivine melilite melanephelinite, derived from depths of at least 80 km, was the primary magma for the Turiy dyke series.

On the MgO–SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram (Fig. 6), some of the primitive silicate dyke rocks show a remarkable positive correlation between these oxides. This may relate to mixing between more and less carbonated mantle source regions, or to olivine fractionation causing a decrease in MgO and SiO<sub>2</sub> in such silica-poor magmas. Damkjernites fall within this array, having low silica contents (25–27 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>) and only 10–12 wt.% MgO (Table 4). Although they are potassic magmas, they appear to be transitional to true carbonatites as they contain >20% CaO. CaO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ratios for silicate dyke rocks range up to 5 (Fig. 5), indicat-

ing a very small degree of melting of a carbonated mantle at depth. The damkjernites again have the highest CaO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, transitional to silico-carbonatites which have CaO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ratios >>7.

Mantle-normalised trace element diagrams for rocks from the ultramafic and mafic dykes and pipes (Fig. 9) show that kimberlite, melilitite and damkjernite have the lowest HREE abundances, indicating a lower degree of melting and greater depth of formation. Modelling by Arzamastsev et al. (2001) indicates that the spectrum of magmas from kimberlites to nephelinites cannot be derived from small degrees of non-modal partial melting of an undepleted garnet peridotite mantle source. However, the primitive magmas can be modelled as 0.3–0.5% melts of an enriched phlogopite- and amphibole-bearing garnet lherzolite (Arzamastsev et al., 2001). Such mantle rocks have been observed in the xenolith suite from the Arkhangelsk diamondiferous kimberlites (Sablukov et al., 2000). Spinel peridotites from Kola also contain phlogopite, amphibole and apatite (Arzamastsev and Dahlgren, 1994; Beard et al., in preparation-a).

The Sr and Nd isotope ratios of the KACP silicate dyke rocks show a wide range of values (Fig. 12). Many fall in the “Depleted Mantle” field, overlapping the values of KACP carbonatites, UACC and syenites; however, numerous dykes have either much higher <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr or much lower ε<sub>Nd</sub> values, similar to those found among the kimberlites and melilitites (Table 5). Several processes could have given rise to these unusual isotopic ratios. One possibility is that they could have been derived from the mantle lithosphere, in which either phlogopite or amphibole was present. Phlogopite has a high Rb/Sr and over time would cause the region of the mantle in which it was situated to have a high <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr. However, mantle phlogopite has very low REE contents and so its effect on the Nd isotope ratio would be limited. In contrast, mantle amphibole has modest Rb/Sr ratios but is often highly LREE-enriched, which would cause low ε<sub>Nd</sub> values to evolve. The impact of the mantle plume on the lithosphere may have caused these low-solidus domains to undergo partial melting, thus producing a range of sodic and potassic magmas. An alternative possibility is that all the samples with low ε<sub>Nd</sub> values may be contaminated by continental crust, whereas those with high <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr may result from hydrothermal alteration that has affected Sr but not the REE. Without

detailed oxygen and Sr isotope measurements of individual phases within the samples, this suggestion cannot be verified. However, it is supported by the leaching experiment of Beard et al. (1996) in which the measured Sr isotope composition of a Kola ultramafic lamprophyre dyke was reduced from 0.711 to 0.709 by leaching in hot acid.

Some melilitites and melanephelinites have low MgO contents (<10 wt.%) and must therefore be the products of fractionated magmas (Ivanikov et al., 1998). They are transitional in their Si–Mg compositions to some of the rocks in the syenite intrusions (Fig. 6). They also have much lower Ni and Cr contents (4–20 ppm Cr; 35–65 ppm Ni), indicating that they have experienced fractionation of mafic minerals. These samples, however, have Sr and Nd isotope compositions identical to those of the more primitive magmas, demonstrating that fractionation occurred without any contamination by the surrounding crust. Ivanikov et al. (1998) suggested that fractionation of olivine, clinopyroxene, melilite, titanomagnetite, apatite and perovskite would be able to produce the compositions of these more evolved magmas. They also pointed out that such a sequence of crystallising phases would account for the compositions of many of the ultramafic rocks in the UACC. Dunworth and Bell (2001) also suggested that there should be a large volume of olivine- and pyroxene-rich ultramafic cumulates associated with fractional crystallisation within the Turiy complex.

### 5.3. Origin of ultramafic alkaline carbonatite complexes

On the MgO–SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram (Fig. 6), the cumulate rocks of the UACC plot as mixtures of their constituent minerals. Together with the petrographical evidence for cumulus processes, this indicates that few of the rocks found in the plutonic complexes are true magmatic liquids. Table 4 presents a calculated primitive magma composition for the ultramafic alkaline carbonatite complexes (Arzamastsev et al., 2002). On the TAS diagram (Fig. 3), the proposed parental UACC magma is a typical silica-undersaturated KACP magma. It falls in the region of the MgO–SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram (Fig. 6) occupied by melilitites and is within the compositional field of the melilitite and ultramafic lamprophyres on the CaO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> vs SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the parental UACC magma has a REE

pattern identical to that of melilitites and carbonatites from the KACP (Fig. 7). It has high Ni (242 ppm) and Cr (393 ppm) contents, again indicating mantle derivation. In terms of their radiogenic isotope ratios, UACC silicate rocks largely overlap the field of carbonatites, syenites and many of the dyke rocks, and so must have been derived from the same mantle source. Crustal contamination may have occurred in a single sample of ijolite from Kovdor that has an unusually low  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t$  ratio (Verhulst et al., 2000), but this needs to be confirmed by oxygen isotope analysis.

#### 5.4. Origin of the alkaline syenites

Kogarko (1977a, 1977b, 1979) discussed the origin of nepheline syenites in the KACP and used phase diagram constraints to show that the nepheline syenite minimum composition can appear as a differentiation product from a variety of nepheline-normative parental melts, such as olivine nephelinites, melilitic basalts and alkali basalts. Typical compositions of the nepheline syenites in the Khibiny and Lovozero plutons (Arzamastsev et al., 2001) have low MgO contents (<2 wt.% MgO) and extreme enrichment in alkalis ( $\text{Na}_2\text{O} > 8$  wt.%;  $\text{K}_2\text{O} > 3.5$  wt.%). On the TAS diagram (Fig. 3), they plot in the evolved foidite field that has even less  $\text{SiO}_2$  than common nepheline syenites. Such extreme compositions could not be derived directly from the mantle, so it is clear that the magmas in these intrusions have undergone extensive fractionation. Ultramafic cumulate rocks are present in the Khibiny and Kurga intrusions, indicating a close relationship. Much more ultramafic rock must be present at depth within the crust, formed of minerals that have been crystallised during this fractionation process. Arzamastsev et al. (2001), using density modelling and borehole core, suggest that about 50% of Khibiny is formed of alkaline ultramafic rocks. The REE pattern of the most evolved nepheline syenites (Fig. 8) is more than an order of magnitude more enriched than that of a typical ultramafic parental magma, suggesting extreme fractionation.

Table 1 shows that syenites are present in more than 50% of the UACC, indicating that such magmas were formed during the evolution of the UACC. During its late magmatic stage, the Kovdor UACC pluton was intruded by dykes of alkaline syenite and nepheline syenite. A xenolith of layered eudialyte syenite found

in a dyke in the Turiy area (Beard et al., in preparation-b) indicates the existence of an agpaitic syenitic body at depth, thus forming another link between the UACC and the syenitic plutonic magmatism. On the MgO– $\text{SiO}_2$  diagram (Fig. 6) there is an overlap between the least evolved nepheline syenites and the most evolved foidolites. The similarity of their Sr and Nd radiogenic isotope ratios to those of more mafic rocks in the UACC (Fig. 12) also supports the suggestion that fractional crystallisation was the main process that formed these rocks. Minerals that would fractionate from silica-undersaturated mafic alkaline magmas such as nephelinites include olivine, clinopyroxene, nepheline, melilitite and eventually alkali feldspar (Dubrovsky, 1989). These minerals are the most common constituents of the cumulate rocks in the UACC and in the ijolite–urtite arc within the Khibiny massif.

#### 5.5. Origin of potassic magma types, including kimberlites

Fig. 4 shows that there is a continuum of sodic and potassic magma types within the dykes and pipes. The most common potassic magma type is olivine phlogopite melilitite, although damkjernites and kimberlites are also present. Potassic magmas clearly have a different mantle source mineralogy than sodic magmas and derivation from a phlogopite-bearing lithospheric mantle is one possible explanation. Nevertheless, their REE and mantle-normalised trace element patterns are very similar to those of the sodic magmatic rocks, indicating a broadly similar source (Figs. 7 and 9). Although some potassic magmas have Sr and Nd isotope compositions overlapping with the main field of KACP magmatism (Fig. 12), many show much higher  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  or lower  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^t$  values. These unusual values may be derived from the enriched mantle lithosphere. Such lithosphere may be represented by phlogopite clinopyroxenites that occur with garnet peridotite xenoliths in the Arkhangelsk kimberlites. Alternative explanations could be that these rocks are either hydrothermally altered or contaminated by the Archaean/Proterozoic crust. Beard et al. (1998; 2000) discussed the origin of kimberlites in Terskiy Bereg and Arkhangelsk, and concluded that they were formed from a metasomatised mantle source and were associated with impact of a mantle plume on the base of the thick cratonic lithosphere.

### 5.6. Origin of carbonatites and phoscorites

The evolution and source characteristics of carbonatite magmas in the KACP have been recently reviewed by Bell and Rukhlov (2004). There is a long-standing debate about whether carbonatite magmas are products of liquid immiscibility or can be derived directly from melting of the mantle (or both). However, many recent experimental studies (e.g. Wallace and Green, 1988; Thibault et al., 1992; Dalton and Wood, 1993; Lee and Wyllie, 2000) have demonstrated that carbonatite melts can be formed from carbonated lherzolite at moderate to high pressures. The presence of carbonatites as dykes and as volcanic products (e.g. in Kontozero) indicates that carbonate magmas were present as separate entities in the KACP, but it is not clear whether they were formed separately at mantle depths or exsolved at lower pressures. Evidence for the passage of carbonatite melts through the lithospheric mantle is suggested by the presence of wehrlite xenoliths associated with spinel peridotite xenoliths in a dyke near Kandalaksha (Beard et al., *in preparation-a*). Wehrlites can be formed by carbonatite metasomatism within the mantle.

The similarities of the REE and trace element patterns for ultramafic alkaline silicate rocks and carbonatite dykes indicate that there is a strong relationship between the two magma types. This is seen in the field relations within the UACC, in which carbonatite rocks grade into silicate rocks. Bell and Rukhlov (2004) point out that fractional crystallisation may be the dominant process in the origin of carbonatites. Primary igneous calcite is common in many silicate rocks within the KACP, e.g. as interstitial phase in the Kovdor ultramafic cumulates (Verhulst et al., 2000), and in dykes associated with the Kandaguba complex (Pilipiuk et al., 2001) and Pinozero dyke swarm (Rukhlov, 1999; Bell and Rukhlov, 2004). Many of the carbonatite rocks in the UACC are in fact cumulates, so their compositions represent a mixture between cumulus carbonate minerals and cumulus silicate minerals (Fig. 6). Plutonic silico-carbonatite xenoliths from a ferrocarbonatite sill in the Kandalaksha Gulf were also interpreted as cumulates (Beard et al., 1996). Veksler et al. (1998) analysed melt inclusions in silicate minerals from carbonatite and silicate rocks of Kovdor, and suggested that fractionation of amphibole and phlogopite was an important process during melt evolution. Many oliv-

ine-free ultramafic cumulate xenoliths have been found together with the plutonic carbonatite xenoliths in Kandalaksha Gulf (Beard et al., *in preparation-c*). The mineralogy of these xenoliths includes pargasite, phlogopite, diopside and apatite; they show clear evidence of magmatic layering.

In contrast to the suggestion that the carbonatite magmas were formed directly from the mantle or from crystal fractionation, Bulakh and Ivanikov (1984) and Ivanikov et al. (1998) argued for an origin due to liquid immiscibility from nepheline melilitite magmas. They suggested that the presence of carbonate ocelli in the mafic silicate dykes was consistent with this process. On a large scale, the carbonatites and silicate rocks in the UACC also have similar Sr and Nd isotope ratios (Fig. 12), although detailed studies of Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd systems suggest slightly different sources for carbonatites and silicate rocks of the Khibiny complex (Kramm and Kogarko, 1994; Zaitsev et al., 1997; Sindern et al., 2004). Detailed isotopic studies have shown that KACP carbonatites do not have a uniform isotopic composition and therefore are probably derived from a heterogeneous mantle source (e.g. Verhulst et al., 2000; Dunworth and Bell, 2001). Sr–Nd isotopic data cannot easily distinguish between the two processes of partial melting or liquid immiscibility and, as Bell and Rukhlov (2004) have concluded, there is no overall agreement about whether carbonatites arrive in the crust as carbonate magmas or separate by liquid immiscibility at shallower levels. Possibly both mechanisms have operated, even within the same complex.

Phoscorites are extremely unusual rock-types, associated with carbonatites within the UACC (Krasnova et al., 2004). Their mineralogy is highly variable, ranging from almost monomineralic to magnetite–apatite–forsterite/diopside rocks. Such mineralogical variations imply that crystal segregation processes were involved in their formation (i.e. some phoscorites are probably cumulates), but Krasnova et al. (2004) also suggest that liquid immiscibility between phoscorite and carbonatite melts may have played a role. A phoscorite melt would be extremely rich in Fe and P, and would have a very different melt structure from that of a carbonatite melt. Stable isotope data for Kola carbonatite and phoscorite complexes have been presented by Demény et al. (2004), who suggested that liquid immiscibility may have operated to form some phos-

corites (e.g. in Sokli) but the involvement of separate sources is required in other examples (e.g. Turiy).

### 5.7. Asthenospheric or lithospheric source?

In common with much of the Baltic Shield, the present-day lithospheric thickness beneath the Kola Peninsula is at least 200 km (Artemieva, 2003). The presence of rare diamonds in kimberlites of the Terskiy coast (Kalinkin et al., 1993) and Arkhangelsk (Makhotkin et al., 2000) suggests that lithospheric thicknesses were similar in Devonian times. This gives rise to questions concerning the location of the melting that gave rise to the suite of magmas. The general homogeneity in isotopic and REE composition within the non-potassic silicate magmas and the carbonatites argues for a homogeneous source, which is conventionally considered to be the asthenosphere, whereas the isotopic heterogeneity of the potassic magmas (including kimberlites) suggests a lithospheric source. However, kimberlites are usually considered to be formed at greater depths than melilitites, nephelinites or carbonatites. Thus, possibly all KACP magmas were derived from a lithospheric mantle that had been metasomatised prior to melting, which had not had time to develop extensive isotopic heterogeneity except in the regions containing high Rb/Sr phlogopite. The kimberlites were derived from the deepest levels (at least 120 km), which were most metasomatised and heterogeneous, with the nephelinites and melilitites being formed from shallower and more homogeneous regions of the lithosphere. Re-activation of deep lithospheric fractures may have contributed to the uprise of magma.

The trigger for the magmatism is also debateable. Numerous authors have suggested the presence of a mantle plume (e.g. Beard et al., 1998; Dunworth and Bell, 2001; Sinderen et al., 2004; Bell and Rukhlov, 2004). This would help to explain (a) the short time duration of the magmatism and (b) the large volume of magma produced (between 15,000 and 75,000 km<sup>3</sup>, depending on the original thickness and extent of erupted lavas). The highly silica-undersaturated nature of the magmatism is, however, rather unusual for a plume event and tends to suggest very small degrees of melting of an enriched source, rather than extensive melting related to the impact of a large plume head. Even the He isotopic systematics suggests only a lim-

ited role for material actually derived from the lower mantle (Tolstikhin et al., 1999, 2002). Moreover, Meiboom et al. (2003) have suggested that high <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios (=low <sup>4</sup>He/<sup>3</sup>He ratios) may not be a reliable indicator of the presence of a deep plume component.

## 6. Conclusions

From the data reviewed above, we conclude that most of the magmas within the Kola alkaline province were derived from an upper mantle source (possibly a thick lithosphere), although a lower mantle plume may have initiated the magmatism. A spectrum of magmas from carbonatite to damkjernite to melilitite to ultramafic lamprophyre was derived from melting of metasomatised carbonated garnet peridotite. Most primitive silicate magmas have very similar trace element and isotopic compositions and therefore are derived from a similar mantle source. The most likely 'primitive magma' for the ultramafic–alkaline–carbonatite complexes is a sodic melilitite, olivine melanephelinite or ultramafic lamprophyre. Depth of melting, degree of melting and mineralogy of the mantle source are the key factors in determining the composition of the ultramafic and mafic magmas. Potassic magmas such as kimberlites may have been derived from enriched mantle lithosphere containing residual phlogopite. Cumulate processes were very important in forming the spectrum of alkaline ultramafic rock-types in the ultramafic–alkaline–carbonatite complexes; crystal fractionation processes were important in forming the nepheline and alkaline syenites. Carbonatites may have been formed by direct mantle melting or by liquid immiscibility, but most of them are probably related to crystal fractionation of carbonated alkaline magmas.

## Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Lia Kogarko and Frances Wall for their careful reviews of this paper. We wish to record our thanks to the organisers of the annual SVEKALAPKO meetings, held as part of the ESF-funded EUROPROBE project, that enabled Russian and Western colleagues to exchange samples, data and ideas in the congenial surroundings of Lammi (Finland) and Repino (Russia).

**Appendix A. Explanation of unusual rock-types found in the KACP**

ROCK/TERM	Description/comment	Rock-forming minerals	Characteristic accessories
Aillikite	Variety of ultramafic carbonate-rich lamprophyre	Phenocrysts of olivine, diopside, amphibole and phlogopite in a groundmass of similar minerals and carbonate	Perovskite
Alnoite	Variety of ultramafic lamprophyre	Phenocrysts of phlogopite/ biotite, olivine and augite in a groundmass of melilite, augite, calcite	Perovskite, apatite, garnet
Damkjernite	Melanocratic variety of ultramafic lamprophyre	Phlogopite, diopside, sodalite, melanite garnet	Perovskite, apatite, olivine, magnetite, chromite
Fenite	Metasomatised country rock associated with alkaline and carbonatite complexes	Alkali feldspars, sodic pyroxenes	Alkali amphiboles
Foyaite—(Trachytic texture)	A hypersolvus nepheline syenite. (Trachytic texture caused by platy alkali feldspar crystals)	Nepheline, microcline, aegirine–augite, biotite, arfvedsonite	Eucolite, titanite, astrophyllite
Ijolite	A rock consisting of pyroxene with 30–70% nepheline	Nepheline, aegirine–augite	Apatite, titanite, titanomagnetite
Khibinite	Variety of eudialyte-bearing nepheline syenite	Nepheline, microcline, aegirine, arfvedsonite	Eudialyte, titanite, lamprophyllite
Kimberlite	A highly potassic rock, intruded as a diatreme/pipe	May contain macrocryst of olivine, ilmenite, garnet, chromite in a fine-grained groundmass of olivine, phlogiote, diopside, primary carbonate	Perovskite, apatite, serpentine, rutile and diamonds
Lujavrite	Variety of melanocratic nepheline syenite with a characteristic lamination	Nepheline, microcline, aegirine–augite arfvedsonite, apatite	Eudialyte, titanite, lamprophyllite
Monchiquite	Melanocratic variety of alkaline lamprophyre	Olivine, kaersutite, Ti-augite, Ti-biotite	Magnetite, analcime, +glass
Melilitolite	Plutonic rock composed of melilite, pyroxene and olivine	Melilite, clinopyroxene, olivine	Clinopyroxene, apatite, ilmenite
Melteigite (Ijolite series)	The melanocratic member of the ijolite series with 10–30% nepheline	Aegirine–augite, nepheline	Apatite, titanite, titanomagnetite
Nepheline syenite	Variety of nepheline-bearing syenite	Nepheline, K-feldspar, aegirine–augite	Titanite, apatite, biotite
Olivinite (dunite)	Olivine-rich plutonic rock. Dunite is referred by Russian authors as olivinite because they contain magenite instead of chromite and may have a cumulate texture	Olivine	Magnetite, clinopyroxene, amphibole, perovskite
Phoscorite	Plutonic ultramafic rock associated with carbonatite composed of magnetite, apatite and one of silicates (forsterite, diopside or phlogopite). Relative amounts of rock-forming minerals vary from a monomineralic to polymineralic.	Magnetite, apatite, (forsterite, diopside, phlogopite)	Calcite, dolomite, tetraferriphlogopite, richterite, clinohumite, serpentine, ilmenite, perovskite, baddeleyite, pyrochlore, spinel
Pyroxenite	Plutonic rock composed entirely of pyroxene	Pyroxene (clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene)	Biotite, phlogopite, hornblende, ± olivine

**Appendix A** (continued)

ROCK/TERM	Description/comment	Rock-forming minerals	Characteristic accessories
Rischorrite	Variety of biotite-bearing nepheline syenite	Nepheline, microcline, aegirine-augite, biotite,	Eudialyte, titanite, apatite, lamprophyllite
Tinguaite	Variety of phonolite (tinguaite texture caused by interstitial aegirine needles between alkali feldspar and nepheline)	Alkali feldspar, nepheline	Aegirine, biotite
Turjaite	Variety of biotite-bearing melilitolite	Melilite, biotite, nepheline	Perovskite, melanite, garnet, apatite
Urtite (Ijolite series)	The leucocratic member of the ijolite series with over 70% nepheline	Nepheline, aegirine-augite	Apatite, titanite, titanomagnetite

**References**

- Amelin, Yu.V., Zaitsev, A., 1997. Precise U–Th–Pb chronology of carbonatites and phoscorites: problems related to extreme elemental fractionation and possible solutions using multi-mineral approach. GAC/MAC Annual Meeting Ottawa, Abstr., p. 2.
- Artemieva, I.M., 2003. Lithospheric structure, composition and thermal regime of the East European Craton: implications for the subsidence of the Russian platform. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 213, 431–446.
- Arzamastsev, A.A., 1994. Unique Palaeozoic Intrusions of the Kola Peninsula. Kola Science Centre Press House, Apatity. 78 pp. (in Russian and English).
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Dahlgren, S., 1994. Plutonic mineral assemblages in Palaeozoic dikes and explosion pipes of the Alkaline Province of the Baltic Shield. *Geochem. Int.* 31 (3), 57–68.
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Arzamastseva, L.V., Belyatsky, B.V., 1998. Initial alkaline volcanism of the Palaeozoic tectonic and magmatic activation in the North-Eastern Fennoscandia: geochemical features and petrological consequences. *Petrology* 6, 316–336 (in Russian).
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Bayanova, T.B., Arzamastseva, L.V., Balashov, Yu.D., Gogol, O.V., 1999. Initial magmatism of Palaeozoic tectono-magmatic activation in the northeastern Baltic Shield: age and geochemical features of the Kurga massif, Kola Peninsula. *Geochimia* 11, 1139–1150.
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Belyatsky, B.V., 2000. Palaeozoic activation in the Northeastern Fennoscandian shield: Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd isochron dating of initial volcanics and final dyke pulses of magmatism. Abstracts of the SVEKALAPKO 5th Workshop. University of Oulu, Department of Geophysics, p. 6. Report No. 23.
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Glaznev, V.N., Arzamastseva, L.V., Raevsky, F.B., 2000a. The morphology and internal structure of the Kola alkaline intrusions, NE Fennoscandian shield: 3D density modelling and geological implications. *J. Asian Earth Sci.* 18, 213–228.
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Belyatsky, B.V., Arzamastseva, L.V., 2000b. Aegirite magmatism in the northeastern Baltic Shield: a study of the Niva intrusion, Kola Peninsula, Russia. *Lithos* 51, 27–46.
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Bea, F., Glaznev, V.N., Arzamastseva, L.V., Montero, P., 2001. Kola alkaline province in the Palaeozoic: evaluation of primary mantle magma composition and magma generation conditions. *Rus. J. Earth Sci.* 3, 1–32.
- Arzamastsev, A.A., Bea, F., Belyatsky, B.V., Glaznev, V.N., Arzamastseva, L.V., Travin, A., Montero, P., 2002. Palaeozoic processes of plume–lithosphere interaction in the Northeast Baltic Shield: prolongation, volumes, conditions of magma generation. In: Mitrofanov, F.P. (Ed.), *Geology and Raw Materials of the Kola Peninsula*, Apatity, KSC RAS, vol. 2, pp. 104–145 (in Russian).
- Balaganskaya, E.G., 1994. Breccias of the Kovdor phoscorite-carbonatite deposit of magnetite and their geological meaning. *Zap. Vseross. Mineral. Obschestva* 2, 21–36 (in Russian).
- Balaganskaya, E.G., Savchenko, E.A., 1998. Perovskite in the rocks of the ijolite–urtite arc of the Khibiny massif. *Zap. Vseross. Mineral. Obschestva* 1, 85–96 (in Russian).
- Balaganskaya, E., Downes, H., Subbotin, V., Liferovich, R., Beard, A., 1999a. Mantle sources of Kola carbonatites: New Sr, Nd and geochemical evidence from the Vuorijarvi Massif. Abstracts of the 4th Svekalapko Workshop, vol. 22. University of Oulu, Department of Geophysics, p. 7. Rep.
- Balaganskaya, E.G., Gogol, O.V., Liferovich, R.P., Delenitsin, A.A., Sherstobitova, G.M., Sherstennikova, O.G., 1999b. Origin of clinopyroxenite, phoscorite and carbonatite of the Palaeozoic Sebylavr massif, north-western Russia: evidence from Rb–Sr data. *J. Conf. Abstr.* 4 (1), 350.
- Balaganskaya, E., Verhulst, A., Downes, H., Liferovich, R., Demaiffe, D., Laajoki, K., 2000. Geochemistry, petrography and mineralogy of clinopyroxenite, phoscorites and carbonatites of the Sebylavr Massif, Kola Alkaline Carbonatite Province, Russia. Abstracts of the 5th Svekalapko Workshop, vol. 23. University of Oulu Department of Geophysics, p. 8. Rep.
- Balagansky, V.V., Glaznev, V.N., Osipenko, L.G., 1998. Early Proterozoic evolution of the Northeastern Baltic shield: a terrane analysis. *Geotectonics* 32, 81–92.
- Bayanova, T.B., Kirnarsky, Yu.M., Levkovich, N.V., 1997. A U–Pb study of baddeleyite from rocks of the Kovdor massif. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.* 356, 509–511 (in Russian).
- Beard, A.D., Downes, H., Vetrin, V., Kempton, P.D., Maluski, H., 1996. Petrogenesis of Devonian lamprophyre and carbonatite

- minor intrusions, Kandalaksha Gulf (Kola Peninsula). *Lithos* 39, 93–119.
- Beard, A.D., Downes, H., Hegner, E., Sablukov, S.M., Vetrin, V., Balogh, K., 1998. Mineralogy and geochemistry of Devonian ultramafic minor intrusions of the southern Kola Peninsula, Russia: implications for the petrogenesis of kimberlites and melilitites. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* 130, 288–303.
- Beard, A.D., Downes, H., Hegner, E., Sablukov, S.M., 2000. Geochemistry and mineralogy of kimberlites from the Arkhangelsk Region, NW Russia: evidence for transitional kimberlite magma types. *Lithos* 51, 47–73.
- Beard, A.D., Downes, H., Mason, P.R.D., Vetrin, V. R., in preparation-a. Depletion and enrichment processes in the lithospheric mantle beneath the Kola Peninsula (Russia): Evidence from spinel lherzolite and wehrlite xenoliths. Submitted to *Lithos*.
- Beard, A.D., Downes, H., Vetrin, V.R., in preparation-b. Petrology and mineralogy of syenite and fenite xenoliths from a carbonate dyke, Kola Peninsula, Russia: Evidence for a unexposed peralkaline intrusion beneath the Turij Peninsula.
- Beard, A.D., Downes, H., Mason, P.R.D., Vetrin, V.R., in preparation-c. Olivine-free ultramafic xenoliths from Devonian minor intrusions, Kola Peninsula, Russia: Evidence for unexposed layered alkaline intrusions.
- Bell, K., Rukhlov, A.S., 2004. Carbonatites from the Kola Alkaline Province: origin, evolution and source characteristics. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*, Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 433–468.
- Borodin, L.S., Lapin, A.V., Pyatenko, I.K., 1976. *Petrology and Geochemistry of Alkaline-Ultramafic Dykes and Kimberlites*. Nauka, Moscow. 244 pp. (in Russian).
- Brassinnes, S., Demaiffe, D., Balaganskaya, E., Downes, H., 2003. New mineralogical and geochemical data on the Vuorijarvi ultramafic, alkaline and carbonatite complex (Kola region, NW Russia). *Period. Mineral.* LXXII (Special Issue No 1), 79–86.
- Brassinnes, S., Balaganskaya, E., Demaiffe, D., 2005. Magmatic evolution of the differentiated ultramafic, alkaline and carbonate intrusion of Vuorijarvi (Kola Peninsula, Russia). A LA-ICP-MS study of apatite. *Lithos* 85, 76–92 (this volume).
- Bulakh, A.G., Ivanikov, V.V., 1984. *The Problems of Mineralogy and Petrology of Carbonatites*. LGU, Leningrad. 242 pp. (in Russian).
- Bulakh, A.G., Le Bas, M.J., Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N., 1998. Ancyllite-bearing carbonatites of the Sebyayr massif, Kola Peninsula, Russia. *N. Jb. Mineral Mh.* 4, 171–192.
- Bulakh, A.G., Ivanikov, V.V., Orlova, M.P., 2004. Overview of carbonatite-phoscorite complexes of the Kola Alkaline Province in the context of a Scandinavian North Atlantic Alkaline Province. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 1–37.
- Bussen, I.V., Sakharov, A.S., 1972. *Petrology of the Lovozero Alkaline Massif*. Nauka, Leningrad. 296 pp. (in Russian).
- Chakhmouradian, A.R., and Zaitsev, A.N., 2004. Afrikanda: an association of ultramafic, alkaline and alkali-silica-rich carbonitic rocks from mantle-derived melts. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 247–292.
- Dalton, J.A., Wood, B.J., 1993. The composition of primary carbonate melts and their evolution through wall-rock reaction in the mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 119, 511–525.
- Dalton, J.A., Presnall, D.C., 1998. The continuum of primary carbonatitic-kimberlitic melt compositions in equilibrium with lherzolite: data from the system CaO–MgO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub>–CO<sub>2</sub> at 6 GPa. *J. Petrol.* 39, 1953–1964.
- Delenitsyn, A.A., Gavrilenko, B.V., Serov, P.N., Bayanova, T.B., 2001. Sm–Nd and Rb–Sr age determination of Yermakovskaya-7 kimberlite pipe, Tyersky Bereg, Kola region. SVEKALAPKO 6th workshop abstracts. University of Oulu, Department of Geophysics, p. 17. Report No. 24.
- Demény, A., Sitnikova, M.A., Karchevsky, P.I., 2004. Stable C and O isotope compositions of carbonatite complexes of the Kola Alkaline Province: phoscorite-carbonatite relationships and source compositions. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 407–432.
- Downes, H., Peltonen, P., Mänttari, I., Sharkov, E.V., 2000. Proterozoic zircon ages from lower crustal granulite xenoliths, Kola Peninsula, Russia: evidence for crustal growth and reworking. *J. Geol. Soc. Lond.* 159, 485–488.
- Dubrovsky, M.I., 1989. *Phase Diagram of Part of the System Larnite–Forsterite–Nepheline–Quartz (extended basalt tetrahedron) at 1 atm*. Kola Science Centre Publication, Apatity. 34 pp. (in Russian).
- Dudkin, O.B., Minakov, F.V., Kravchenko, M.P., Kravchenko, A.V., Kulakov, A.N., Polezhaeva, L.I., Pripachkin, V.A., Pushkarev, Yu.D., Rungenen, G.I., 1984. *Carbonatites of Khibiny*. Kola Branch of the USSR Academy of Sci., Apatity. 98 pp., Printed by.
- Dudkin, O.B., Balaganskaya, E.G., Arzamastseva, L.V., 1986. On the Problem of the Khibiny Deposits Formation. Deposits of the nonmetallic raw materials of the Kola Peninsula. Kola Branch of the USSR Acad of Sci., Apatity, pp. 4–10. Published by.
- Dunworth, E.A., 1997. *The Turij Massif, Kola Peninsula, Russia: open-system disequilibrium*. PhD thesis, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. 488 pp.
- Dunworth, E.A., Bell, K., 2001. The Turij Massif, Kola Peninsula, Russia: isotopic and geochemical evidence for multi-source evolution. *J. Petrol.* 42, 377–405.
- Galakhov, A.V., 1975. *Petrology of the Khibiny Alkaline Massif*. Nauka Publishing House, Leningrad. 256 pp. (in Russian).
- Galakhov, A.V., 1988. Khibiny massif-complicated poly-source intrusive of the central type.. *Dokl. AN SSSR.* 302 (3), 673–675 (in Russian).
- Gerashimovskiy, V.I., Volkov, V.P., Kogarko, L.N., Polyakov, A.I., Saprykina, T.V., Balashov, Yu.A., 1966. *The Geochemistry of the Lovozero Alkaline Massif: Part 1 and Part 2*. Australian National University press, Canberra.
- Gogol, O.V., Delenistin, A.A., 1999. New Rb–Sr data for Kola alkaline province. Proceedings of the 10th Kratz Conference. Kola Sci. Centre Publ, Apatity, pp. 43–47 (in Russian).

- Gogol, O.V., Bayanova, T.B., Balaganskaya, E.G., Delenistin, A.A., 1998. New evidence of the duration of alkaline magmatism of the Kola region (Russia) based on Rb–Sr and U–Pb isotope data. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 43, 45.
- Gogol, O.V., Bayanova, T.B., Delenistin, A.A., 2000. Stages of the carbonatite formation on the sample of the Sebyavr massif (according to isotope data). Proceedings of the XIX Seminar “Geochemistry of the magmatic rocks”. GEOKHI Publ., Moscow, pp. 45–46 (in Russian).
- Ivanikov, V.V., 1977. Geology and petrology of the complex of alkaline ultrabasic dykes of the Kandalaksha graben. PhD thesis. Leningrad University. 264 p (in Russian).
- Ivanikov, V.V., Rukhlov, A.S., Bell, K., 1998. Magmatic evolution of the melilitite–carbonatite–nephelinite dyke series of the Turij peninsula (Kandalaksha Bay, White Sea, Russia). *J. Petrol.* 39, 2054–2059.
- Ivanova, T.N., Dudkin, O.B., Kosyreva, L.V., Polyakov, K.I., 1970. Ijolite–Urtites of the Khibiny Tundras. Nauka Publish. House, Leningrad. 179 pp. (in Russian).
- Kalinkin, M.M., Arzamastsev, A.A., Polyakov, I.V., 1993. Kimberlites and related rocks of the Kola region. *Petrology* 1, 173–180.
- Kamensky, I.L., Ikorsky, S.V., Charkov, I.V., Gannibal, M.A., Novikov, D.D., Tolstikhin, I.L., 2000. Low mantle helium in Palaeozoic intrusions of Kola Peninsula: I. Russian Conference on Isotopic Geochronology. Moscow, 15–17 Nov. 2000. Abstracts Vol.: “Isotopic Dating of Geological Processes: A New Methods and Results, pp. 165–167 (in Russian).
- Karchevsky, P.I., 2004. Turij Mys phoscorite–carbonatite complex and its diopside-bearing phoscorite. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 186–200.
- Karchevsky, P.I., Moutte, J., 2004. The phoscoritecarbonatite–complex of Vuoriyarvi, Kola Peninsula. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 163–185.
- Kelley, S.P., Wartho, J.-A., 2000. Rapid kimberlite ascent and the significance of Ar–Ar ages in xenolith phlogopites. *Science* 289, 609–611.
- Kempton, P.D., Downes, H., Neymark, L.A., Wartho, J.A., Zartman, R.E., Sharkov, E.V., 2001. Garnet granulite xenoliths from the northern Baltic Shield—the underplated lower crust of a Palaeoproterozoic large igneous province? *J. Petrol.* 42, 731–763.
- Kirichenko, A.A., 1962. Palaeozoic Volcanic and Sedimentary Rocks of the Kola Peninsula. Materials on the Geology and Minerals of Northwestern RSFSR, vol. 3. Gostoptekhisdat, Leningrad-Moscow, pp. 27–44 (in Russian).
- Kogarko, L.N., 1977a. Genetic Problems of Agpaite Magmas. Nauka, Moscow. 294 pp. (in Russian).
- Kogarko, L.N., 1977b. General regularities of differentiation of magmas oversaturated with alkalis. *Geochem. Int.* 14, 9–25.
- Kogarko, L.N., 1979. Microcomponents as indicators of the differentiation of alkaline magma series. In: Ahrens, L.H. (Ed.), “Origin and Distribution of the Elements”. Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York.
- Kogarko, L.N., 1987. Alkaline igneous rocks of the Kola Peninsula. In: Fitton, J.G., Upton, B.G.J. (Eds.), *Alkaline Igneous Rocks*, Geol. Soc. Sp. Pub., vol. 30, pp. 531–544.
- Kogarko, L.N., Kononova, V.A., Orlova, M.P., Woolley, A.R., 1995. *Alkaline Rocks and Carbonatites of the World: Part 2. Former USSR*. Chapman and Hall, London. 225 pp.
- Kogarko, L.N., Williams, C.T., Woolley, A.R., 2002. Chemical evolution and petrogenetic implications of loparite in layered, agpaite Lovozero complex, Kola Peninsula, Russia. *Mineral and Petrol.* 74, 1–24.
- Kostyleva-Labuntsova, E.E., Borutsky, B.E., Sokolova, M.N., Shlukova, Z.D., Dorfman, M.D., Dudkin, O.B., Kozyreva, L.V., Ikorsky, S.V., 1978. *Mineralogy of the Khibina Massif*. Nauka, Moscow. 228 pp. (in Russian).
- Kramm, U., 1993. Mantle components of carbonatites from the Kola Alkaline Province, Russia and Finland: a Nd–Sr study. *Eur. J. Mineral.* 5, 985–989.
- Kramm, U., Kogarko, L.N., 1994. Nd and Sr isotope signatures of the Khibiny and Lovozero agpaite centres, Kola Alkaline Province, Russia. *Lithos* 32, 225–242.
- Kramm, U., Kogarko, L.N., Kononova, V.A., Vartiainen, H., 1993. The Kola Alkaline Province of the CIS and Finland: precise Rb–Sr ages define 380–360 Ma age range for all magmatism. *Lithos* 30, 33–44.
- Kramm, U., Sindern, S., 2004. Timing of Kola ultrabasic alkaline, alkaline and phoscorite–carbonatite magmatism. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 75–98.
- Krasnova, N.I., 2001. The Kovdor phlogopite deposit, Kola Peninsula, Russia. *Can. Miner.* 39, 33–44.
- Krasnova, N.I., Kopylova, L.N., 1988. The geological basis for mineral-technological mapping at the Kovdor ore deposit. *Intern. Geol. Rev.* 30, 307–319.
- Krasnova, N.I., Balaganskaya, E.G., Garcia, D., 2004. Kovdor-classic phoscorites and carbonatites. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 99–132.
- Kukhareno, A.A., 1967. Alkaline magmatism of the Eastern Baltic Shield. *Zap. Vses. Mineral. Obshchestva* 4, 393–397 (in Russian).
- Kukhareno, A.A., Orlova, M.P., Bulakh, A.G., Bagdasarov, E.A., Rinskaya-Korskakova, O.M., Nefedov, E.I., Il’insky, G.A., Sergeev, A.S., Abakumova, N.B., 1965. The Caledonian Complex of Ultrabasic, Alkaline Rocks and Carbonatites of the Kola Peninsula and northern Karelia. Nedra, Moscow. 550 pp. (in Russian).
- Kukhareno, A.A., Bulakh, A.G., Il’insky, G.A., Shinkarev, N.F., Orlova, M.P., 1971. Metallogenic features of the alkaline formations on the east part of the Baltic shield. Proceedings of Leningrad Natural Scientists Society, vol. LXXII Iss. 2. 277 pp. (in Russian).
- Lapin, A.V., 1979. Mineral parageneses of apatite ores and carbonatites of the Sebyavr massif. *Int. Geol. Rev.* 21, 1043–1052.
- Lee, W.J., Wyllie, P.J., 2000. The system CaO–MgO–SiO<sub>2</sub>–CO<sub>2</sub> at 1 GPa, metasomatic wehrlites, and primary carbonatitic magmas. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* 138, 214–228.
- Lee, M.-J., Garcia, D., Moutte, J., Williams, C.T., Wall, F., 2004. Carbonatites and phoscorites from the Sokli complex, Finland. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites*

- from Mantle to Mine. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 133–162.
- Liferovich, R.P., 1998. Scandium resources and hydrothermal phosphate mineralization of the Kovdor carbonatite–phoscorite complex. Extended abstract of Ph.D. dissertation. Moscow. 20 p. (in Russian).
- Mahotkin, I.L., Downes, H., Hegner, E., Beard, A., 2003. Devonian dike swarms of alkaline, carbonatitic and primitive magma-type rocks from the south Kola Peninsula: geochemical and isotopic constraints on plume–lithospheric interaction. 8th International Kimberlite Conference Long Abstract. FLA.0346.
- Makhotkin, I.L., Gibson, S.A., Thompson, R.N., Zhuravlev, D.Z., Zherdev, P.U., 2000. Late Devonian diamondiferous kimberlite and alkaline picrite (?proto-kimberlite)—magmatism in the Arkhangelsk region, NW Russia. *J. Petrol.* 41, 201–227.
- Markwick, A.J.W., Downes, H., 2000. Lower crustal xenoliths from Arkhangelsk kimberlite pipes: petrological, geophysical and geochemical constraints from xenoliths. *Lithos* 51, 135–151.
- Meiboom, A., Anderson, D.L., Sleep, N.H., Frei, R., Hren, M.T., Wooden, J.L., 2003. Are the high  $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$  ratios in oceanic basalts an indicator of deep-mantle plume components? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 208, 197–204.
- Nakamura, N., 1974. Determination of REE, Ba, Fe, Mg, Na and K in carbonaceous and ordinary chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 38, 757–775.
- Pyatenko, I.K., Osokin, Ye.D., 1988. Geochemical features of the carbonatite palaeovolcano at Kontozero, Kola Peninsula. *Geokhimiya* 5, 723–737 (in Russian).
- Pilipiuk, A.N., Ivanikov, V.V., Bulakh, A.G., 2001. Unusual rocks and mineralisation in a new carbonatite complex at Kandaguba, Kola Peninsula, Russia. *Lithos* 56, 333–347.
- Rock, N.M.S., 1991. Lamprophyres. Blackie, Glasgow. 285 pp.
- Rukhlov, A.S., 1999. Dykes and explosive pipes of the Kandalaksha Graben (Kola Alkaline Province): the models of magmatic processes and evolution of the subcontinental mantle. PhD thesis. St. Petersburg University. 283 pp.
- Sablukov, S., 1984. Phases of formation and age of diatremes of the Onega Peninsula. *Dokl. Acad. Nauk.* 227, 168–170 (in Russian).
- Sablukov, S.M., Sablukova, L.I., Shavyrina, M.V., 2000. Mantle xenoliths from the Zimnii Bereg kimberlite deposits of rounded diamonds, Arkhangelsk Diamondiferous Province. *Petrology* 8 (5), 466–494.
- Schablinsky, G.N., 1963. To the Deep Structure of the Khibiny and Lovozero Plutons. Proceedings of Leningrad Natural Scientists Society, vol. LXXIV Iss. 1, pp. 41–43 (in Russian).
- Schpachenko, A.K., Stepanov, V.A., 1991. A new data on the morphology of the ore-bearing structures at the north segment of the Khibiny ijolite–urtite arc. *Dokl. Acad. Nauk.* 319 (6), 1413–1417 (in Russian).
- Sindern, S., Zaitsev, A.N., Demény, A., Bell, K., Chakmouradian, A.R., Kramm, U., Moutte, J., Rukhlov, A.S., 2004. Mineralogy and geochemistry of silicate dyke rocks associated with carbonatites from the Khibina complex (Kola, Russia)—isotope constraints on genesis and small-scale mantle sources. *Mineral. Petrol.* 80, 215–239.
- Sitnikova, M.A., 2004. Mineralogy of carbonatites from the Sallanlatva Massif, Kola Peninsula. Extended abstract of PhD dissertation. St. Petersburg, University of St. Petersburg publications. 16p (in Russian).
- Sokolov, S.V., 1983. Genetic affinity apatite–magnetite ores and carbonatites of alkaline–ultramafic massifs. *Geokhimiya* 3, 438–449.
- Subbotin, V.V., Subbotina, G.F., 2000. Minerals of pyrochlore group in phoscorites and carbonatites of Kola Peninsula. *Vestnik MGTU.* 3 (2), 273–284.
- Sun, S.-S., McDonough, W.F., 1989. Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts: implications for mantle composition and processes. *Magmatism in Ocean Basins. Geol. Soc. Sp. Pub.*, vol. 42, pp. 313–345.
- Ternovoy, V.I., Afanasiev, B.V., Sulimov, B.I., 1969. Geology and Prospecting of the Kovdor Vermiculite–Phlogopite Deposit. Nedra, Leningrad. 288 pp. (in Russian).
- Thibault, Y., Edgar, A.D., Lloyd, F.E., 1992. Experimental investigation of melts from a carbonated phlogopite lherzolite: implications for metasomatism in the continental lithospheric mantle. *Am. Mineral.* 77, 784–794.
- Tolstikhin, I.N., Marty, B., 1998. The evolution of terrestrial volatiles: a view from helium, neon, argon and nitrogen isotope modelling. *Chemical Geol.* 147, 27–52.
- Tolstikhin, I.N., Kamensky, I.L., Marty, B., Nivin, V.A., Vetrin, V.R., Balaganskaya, E.G., Ikorsky, S.V., Gannibal, M.A., Kimarsky, Yu.M., Weiss, D., Verhulst, A., Demaiffe, D., 1999. Low mantle plume component in Devonian Kola ultrabasic–alkaline–carbonatite complexes: evidences from rare gas isotopes and related parent elements. *Apatity-Nancy-Bruxelles. CRPG, Nancy.* 97 pp. (in Russian and English).
- Tolstikhin, I.N., Kamensky, I.L., Marty, B., Nivin, V.A., Vetrin, V.R., Balaganskaya, E.G., Ikorsky, S.V., Gannibal, M.A., Weiss, D., Verhulst, A., Demaiffe, D., 2002. Gas, rare isotopes and parent trace elements in ultrabasic–alkaline–carbonatite complexes, Kola Peninsula: identification of lower mantle plume component. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 66, 881–901.
- Torsvik, T.H., Smethurst, M.A., Meert, J.G., Van der Voo, R., McKerrow, W.S., Brasier, M.D., Sturt, B.A., Walderhaug, H.J., 1996. Continental break-up and collision in the Neoproterozoic and Palaeozoic—a tale of Baltica and Laurentia. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 40, 229–258.
- Uvad'ev, L.I., 1980. Riphean Alkaline-Magmatism in the Zone of the Kandalaksha Graben, *Dokl. Akademii Nauk SSSR*, vol. 254, pp. 1435–1456.
- Vartiainen, H., 1980. The petrography, mineralogy and petrochemistry of the Sokli carbonatite massif, northern Finland. *Bull. Geol. Surv. Finland* 313 (126 pp.).
- Vartiainen, H., Woolley, A.R., 1974. The age of the Sokli carbonatite, Finland, and some relationships of the North Atlantic alkaline igneous province. *Bull. Geol. Surv. Finland* 46, 81–91.
- Vartiainen, H., Paarma, H., 1979. Geological characteristics of the Sokli carbonatite complex, Finland. *Econ. Geol.* 74, 1296–1306.

- Veksler, I.V., Nielsen, T.F.D., Sokolov, S.V., 1998. Mineralogy of crystallised melt inclusions from Gardiner and Kovdor ultramafic alkaline complexes: implications for carbonatite genesis. *J. Petrol.* 39, 2015–2031.
- Verhulst, A., Balaganskaya, E., Kirnarsky, Yu., Demaiffe, D., 2000. Petrological and geochemical (trace elements and Sr–Nd isotopes) characteristics of the Paleozoic Kovdor ultramafic, alkaline and carbonatite intrusion (Kola Peninsula, NW Russia). *Lithos* 51, 1–25.
- Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N., 2004. Rare earth minerals in Kola carbonatites. In: F. Wall, Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 341–374.
- Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N., Mariano, A.N., 2001. Rare earth pegmatites in carbonatites. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 32, 35–36.
- Wallace, M.E., Green, D.H., 1988. An experimental determination of primary carbonatite magma composition. *Nature* 335, 343–346.
- Woolley, A.R., 1989. The spatial and temporal distribution of carbonatites. In: Bell, K. (Ed.), *Carbonatites: Genesis and Evolution*. Unwin Hyman, London, pp. 15–37.
- Zaitsev, A.N., 1996. Rhombohedral carbonates from carbonatites of the Khibiny massif, Kola Peninsula, Russia. *Can. Miner.* 34, 453–468.
- Zaitsev, A., Bell, K., 1995. Sr and Nd isotope data of apatite, calcite and dolomite as indicators of source, and the relationships of phoscorites and carbonatites from the Kovdor massif, Kola peninsula, Russia. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* 121, 324–335.
- Zaitsev, A.N., Bell, K., Wall, F., Le Bas, M.J., 1997. Alkaline-rare earth carbonates from carbonatites of Khibiny massif: mineralogy and genesis. *Transactions (Doklady) Russian Akad. Sci./Earth Sci. Sect.* 355 (5), 786–790.
- Zaitsev, A.N., Sitnikova, M.A., Subbotin, V.V., Fernandes-Suarez, J., Jeffries, T.E., 2004. Sallanlatvi complex—a rare example of magnesite and siderite carbonatites. In: Wall, F., Zaitsev, A.N. (Eds.), *Phoscorites and Carbonatites from Mantle to Mine*. Mineralogical Society Series, vol. 10, pp. 201–246.