Data Analysis and Modeling Techniques Survival Analysis

Haibe-Kains B^{1,2} Bontempi G²

¹Microarray Unit, Institut Jules Bordet

²Machine Learning Group, Université Libre de Bruxelles

November 27, 2006





- Study of the occurrence and timing of events
- Examples : death of patients, failure of a machine, ...
- Two types of observation plans :
 - prospective : the events are recorded when they occur
 - retrospective : look back at some history recording events of interest
- Usually use of retrospective data with some potential limitations :
 - prone to errors (some events may be forgotten)
 - sampling may be a biased subsample of the initial population of interest

Censoring Data

- You may have only partial information for some cases
- Example : a patient leaves a study before an event occurs



- Cases are right-censored because observation is terminated before the event occurs
- Censoring is random when observations are terminated for reasons that are not under control

Haibe-Kains B (ULB)

- If the study is not limited in time
- If the study is limited at 10 years

Patient id	Survival time	Event	Patient id	Survival time	Event
A	5	1	A	5	1
В	8	1	В	8	1
C	10	0	С	10	0
D	13	1	D	10	0
E	18	0	E	10	0

ъ.

- Time of event are realizations of a random variable **t**
- Two common ways to describe the probability distribution of t
 - survivor function
 - hazard function

- Probability of surviving beyond t
- $S(t) = \Pr{\{t > t\}}$
- Because **t** cannot be negative, S(0) = 1
- *S*(*t*) can be estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method [Kaplan and Meier, 1958]

$$\widehat{S}(t) = \prod_{j: t_j \leq t} \left[1 - rac{d_j}{N_j}
ight]$$

where N_j is the number of cases at risk of an event at time t_j and d_j is the number of events at times t_j

Censoring data

Patient id	Survival time	Event	
А	5	1	
В	8	1	
С	10	0	
D	13	1	
E	18	0	

• "+" sign represents the censoring on the survival curve



• Instantaneous risk that an event occurs in the small interval between t and $t + \Delta t$

$$h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Pr\{t \le \mathbf{t} < t + \Delta t \, | \, \mathbf{t} \ge t\}}{\Delta t}$$

- A hazard is a rate not a probability
- h(t) can be estimated by kernel methods [Mueller and Wang, 1994] but you need a sufficient number of cases

Hazard Curve

• Example using a dataset of 26 cases

Survival curve

Hazard curve



Haibe-Kains B (ULB)

November 27, 2006 9 / 30

- There exists different models to fit survivor or hazard functions
 - Parametric model : assumption about the noise distribution that implies specific distribution of t
 - Semiparametric model : no assumption about the distribution of ${\boldsymbol{t}}$
- The most widely used method is the Cox regression introduced in [Cox, 1972] that is a semiparametric model
- NB : This paper is the most highly cited paper in the entire literature of statistics !

Cox Model

- Let be x_{ij} be the *j*th covariate for the *i*th individual with $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$
- Basic model :

$$h_i(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(\beta_1 x_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_n x_{in})$$

- $\lambda_0(t)$ is the baseline hazard function
- linear combination of n covariates which is exponentiated
- This model is called the *proportional hazards model* because the hazard of any individual is a fixed proportion of the hazard of any other individual :

$$\frac{h_i(t)}{h_k(t)} = \exp\left\{\beta_1(x_{i1}-x_{k1})+\cdots+\beta_n(x_{in}-x_{kn})\right\}$$

• As you can see, $\lambda_0(t)$ cancels out



• There exist several tests to assess if this assumption is plausible [Therneau and Grambsch, 2000]

Haibe-Kains B (ULB)

November 27, 2006 12 / 30

ъ.

- Fitting the proportional hazards model to an observed set of survival data :
 - estimation of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n$, of the covariates $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$
 - does not depend on the baseline hazard function
- Fitting can be performed in maximizing the partial likelihood

$$PL = \prod_{i=1}^{N} L_i$$

L_i is the likelihood for the *i*th event

 Definition of L_i: "Given that an event occurred at time t, what is the probability that it happened to case i rather than any other cases ?"

$$L_i = \frac{h_i(t)}{h_i(t) + h_{i+1}(t) + \cdots + h_N(t)}$$

• General expression for the partial likelihood :

$$PL = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{e^{\beta X_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{ij} e^{\beta X_j}} \right]^{\delta_i}$$

- δ_i is an indicator variable for censoring
- y_{ij} such that $y_{ij} = 1$ if $t_j \ge t_i$ and $y_{ij} = 0$ if $t_j < t_i$
- $X_i = [x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{ni}]$ is a vector of *n* covariate values

- How to compute an error in cross-validation for the Cox model ?
- Use the CVPL introduced in [Verwij and Van Houwelingen, 1993]

$$CVPL = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[l\left(\hat{f}^{(-s)}\right) - l^{(-s)}\left(\hat{f}^{(-s)}\right) \right]$$

- I is the log partial likelihood
- \hat{f} is a fitted Cox model
- s is a set of cases
- ► The index (-s) means that we consider all the cases except those in set s

Example of forward feature selection with CVPL



Haibe-Kains B (ULB)

Survival Analysis

ъ.

- Let say we have two groups of patients defined by their age
 - patients younger than 60 in group 0
 - otherwise in group 1
- You can :
 - test the difference between two survival curves (logrank test)
 - estimate the difference in risk between the two groups (Cox regression)
- These results can be extended for more groups

Testing for Difference in Survival Logrank Test

We can estimate a survival curve for each group using the Kaplan-Meier estimator :



- Are these two curves statistically different ?
- use of the logrank test : p-value = 3.56e-05



- Hazard ratio is a relative risk between two conditions
- Summary of the difference between two survival curves
- This difference is constant over time assuming the proportional hazards
- How to compute it ?
 - Let g be an indicator variable to specify the group
 - Let g_i be the value of G for the *i*th individual

$$h_i(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(\beta g_i)$$

- The hazard function for an individual in group 0 is $\lambda_0(t)$
- The hazard function for an individual in group 1 is $\lambda_0(t) \exp(\beta)$
- So the hazard ratio is exp(β)

• Most statistical programs report the following information for a fitted Cox model :

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	Z	р	Ν
$age \geq 60$	2.33	10.2	0.673	3.46	5.5E-04	26

- The indicator variable ${f g}$ is noted as "age ≥ 60 "
- "coef" is the coefficient
- "exp(coef)" is the hazard ratio
- "se(coef)" is the standard error of the coefficient
- \blacktriangleright "z" is the common statistic that follows a χ^2 distribution with 1 degree of freedom
- "p" is the p-value computed from the z statistic
- "N" is the number of cases

- Commonly used in Medical fields
- Use of survival and microarray data to study what are the important genes for the appearance of a specific event
 - death
 - tumor
- Survival analysis cane be used with feature selection, regularization, cross-validation, . . .
- The performance assessment is not straightforward

- Course web page : http://www.bioinfomaster.ulb.ac.be/ cursus/index_html/en#DATANA
- Personal homepage : http://www.ulb.ac.be/di/map/bhaibeka/
- This presentation : http://www.ulb.ac.be/di/map/bhaibeka/ bioinfo_courses/surv_analysis_pres_hkb.pdf

Thank you for your attention.

-

Part I

Bibliography

Haibe-Kains B (ULB)

Survival Analysis

November 27, 2006 24 / 30

三日 のへの

Personal Bibliography

- Definition of clinically distinct molecular subtypes in estrogen receptor positive breast carcinomas through use of genomic grade.Loi S, Haibe-Kains B, Desmedt C, Lallemand F, Tutt AM, Gillet C, Harris A, Bergh J, Foekens JA, Klijn J, Larsimont D, Buyse M Bontempi G, Delorenszi M, Piccart MJ, Sotiriou C in Journal of clinical Oncology, 2007 (accepted)
- Gene expression profiling in breast cancer: Understanding the molecular basis of histologic grade to improve prognosis, Sotiriou
 C, Wirapati P, Loi S, Harris A, Bergh J, Smeds J, Farmer P, Praz V, Haibe-Kains
 B, Lallemand F, Buyse M, Piccart M and Delorenzi M in Journal of National Cancer Institute, volume 98, pages 262-272, February 2006
- Gene regulation by phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231, two breast cancer cell lines exhibiting highly different phenotypes, Lacroix M, Haibe-Kains B, Hennuy B, Laes JF, Lallemand F, Gonze I, Cardoso F, Piccart M, Leclercq G and Sotiriou C in Oncology Reports, volume 12, number 4, pages 701-708, October 2004

Cox, D. R. (1972).

Regression models and life tables.

Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B, 34:187–220.

Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P. (1958). Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 53:457–451.



Mueller, H. G. and Wang, J. L. (1994).

Hazard rates estimation under random censoring with varying kernels and bandwidths.

Biometrics, 50:61-76.

Therneau, T. M. and Grambsch, P. M. (2000). Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model. Springer.

Verwij, P. J. and Van Houwelingen, J. C. (1993).
 Cross validation in survival analysis.
 Statistics in Medicine, 12:2305–2314.

Part II

Appendix

Haibe-Kains B (ULB)

Survival Analysis

November 27, 2006 26 / 30

メロト メポト メヨト メヨ

三日 のへで

- **R** is a widely used open source language and environment for statistical computing and graphics
 - Software and documentation are available from http://www.r-project.org

R code to generate a survival curve using the "ovarian" data :

```
library(survival)
fit <- survfit(Surv(futime, fustat), data=ovarian, conf.type="none")
par(cex=1.5)
plot(fit, xlab="Time", ylab="Probability of survival")</pre>
```

R code to generate a hazard curve using the "ovarian" data :

```
library(survival)
library(muhaz)
fit <- muhaz(times=ovarian$futime, delta=ovarian$fustat, bw.pilot=10)
par(cex=1.5)
plot(fit, xlim=range(ovarian$futime), xlab="Time", ylab="Hazard rate")</pre>
```

R Code

R code to compute the forward feature selection in the "ovarian" dataset and report the empirical and the generalization (CVPL) errors :

```
library(survival)
library(bensurvfoo)
library(gplots)
rr <- fw.cvpl(data=ovarian[ ,c("age","resid.ds","rx","ecog.ps")],</pre>
surv.time=ovarian$futime, surv.event=ovarian$fustat,
strata.cox=NULL, setseed=12345, na.rm=TRUE, verbose=TRUE)
gen.err <- - unlist(lapply(rr$perf, function(x) { return(x[[1]]) }))</pre>
emp.err <- NULL</pre>
for(i in 1:length(rr$sel)) {
emp.err <- c(emp.err, coxph(Surv(ovarian$futime, ovarian$fustat) ~ .,</pre>
data=ovarian[ ,rr$sel[1:i],drop=FALSE])$loglik[2] / sum(ovarian$fustat))
3
plot(gen.err, ylim=range(c(gen.err, emp.err)), type="l",
col="red", lwd=2, lty=1, xlab="Number of variables", ylab="Error")
lines(emp.err, col="blue", lwd=2, lty=2)
smartlegend(x="left", y="center", c("empirical", "generalization"),
lty=c(1,2), lwd=c(2,2), col=c("red", "blue"))
```

R code to generate two survival curves using the "ovarian" data and testing their difference using the logrank test :

```
library(survival)
library(bensurvfoo)
par(cex=1.5)
mysurvivalplot(group=ovarian$age >= 60, surv.time=ovarian$futime,
    surv.event=ovarian$fustat, na.rm=TRUE,
    group.name=c("age < 60", "age >= 60"), global=FALSE,
    stat.info=c(FALSE, FALSE), strata.cox=NULL, main="",
    group.col=c("green", "red"))
survdiff(Surv(ovarian$futime, ovarian$fustat) ~ ovarian$age >= 60)
```

R code to fit a Cox model using the "ovarian" data :

```
library(survival)
coxph(Surv(ovarian$futime, ovarian$fustat) ~ ovarian$age >= 60)
```